

EXERCISES ON METRIC AND HILBERT  
SPACES  
AN INVITATION TO FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

Alexandru Ghitza\*  
School of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Melbourne

Version of Fri 6<sup>th</sup> Sept, 2024 at 20:16

\*([aghitza@alum.mit.edu](mailto:aghitza@alum.mit.edu))



# CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	5
2. METRIC AND TOPOLOGICAL SPACES	9
3. NORMED AND HILBERT SPACES	41
A. APPENDIX	47



# 1. INTRODUCTION

The next few exercises are about countability/uncountability. See [Section 1.2](#) for clarification on our use of the term “countable”. You may assume without proof that any subset of a countable set is finite or countable.

**Exercise 1.1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function, with  $X$  a countable set. Then  $\text{im}(f)$  is finite or countable.

[*Hint:* Reduce to the case  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$  is surjective; construct a right inverse  $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ , which has to be injective, of  $f$ .]

*Solution.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $f$  is surjective and we want to show that  $Y$  is finite or countable.

Also without loss of generality (by pre-composing  $f$  with any bijection  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$ ), we may assume that  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$  is surjective.

As  $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow Y$  is surjective, there exists a right inverse  $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ , in other words  $f \circ g: Y \rightarrow Y$  is the identity function  $\text{id}_Y$ : given  $y \in Y$ , the pre-image  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \mathbf{N}$  is nonempty, so it has a smallest element  $n_y$ ; we let  $g(y) = n_y$ . For any  $y \in Y$ , we have  $f(g(y)) = f(n_y) = y$  as  $n_y \in f^{-1}(y)$ . So  $f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$ .

In particular, this forces  $g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  to be injective, hence realising  $Y$  as a subset of the countable set  $\mathbf{N}$ . We conclude that  $Y$  is finite or countable.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.2.** Show that the union  $S$  of any countable collection of countable sets is a countable set.

[*Hint:* Construct a surjective function  $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S$ .]

*Solution.* Write

$$S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} S_n,$$

with each  $S_n$  a countable set. It is clear that  $S$  is infinite (as, say,  $S_1$  is, and  $S_1 \subseteq S$ ).

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , fix a bijection  $\varphi_n: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S_n$ . (As Chengjing rightfully points out to me, this uses the Axiom of Countable Choice.) Define a function  $\psi: \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} \rightarrow S$  by:

$$\psi((n, m)) = \varphi_n(m) \in S_n \subseteq S.$$

This is surjective, and  $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$  is countable, so  $S$  is finite or countable, and we ruled out finite above.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.3.** Let  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$  be the set of arbitrary sequences  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  of elements of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

This is a vector space under the naturally-defined addition of sequences and multiplication by a scalar.

Let  $e_j \in \mathbf{R}^\infty$  be the sequence whose  $j$ -th entry is 1, and all the others are 0. Describe the subspace  $\text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ . Is the set  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  a basis of  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ ?

*Solution.* Let  $S = \{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  and  $W = \text{Span}(S)$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , define

$$W_n = \text{Span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\} \subseteq W.$$

I claim that

$$W = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} W_n.$$

One inclusion is clear, as  $W_n \subseteq W$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

For the other inclusion, let  $w \in W$ . Then there exist  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$w = a_1 e_{k_1} + \dots + a_m e_{k_m}.$$

Set  $n = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$ , then  $w \in W_n$ .

Is  $W = \mathbf{R}^\infty$ ? No. Any  $w \in W$  appears in a  $W_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , therefore only the first  $n$  entries of  $w$  can be nonzero. This means, for instance, that  $v = (1, 1, 1, \dots) \notin W$ . So  $S$  does not span  $\mathbf{R}^\infty$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 1.4.** Let  $V = \mathbf{R}$  viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ . Show that the set  $T = \{\alpha^n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearly independent if and only if  $\alpha$  is transcendental.

(Note: An element  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  is called *algebraic* if there exists a monic polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . An element  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  is called *transcendental* if it is not algebraic.)

*Solution.* This is a straightforward rewriting of the definition of algebraic:  $\alpha$  is algebraic if and only if it satisfies a polynomial equation with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Q}$ , which is equivalent to a nontrivial linear relation between the powers of  $\alpha$ , which exists if and only if  $T$  is linearly dependent.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.5.** Let  $W$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space with a countable basis  $B$ . Show that  $W$  is a countable set.

[Hint: Use [Exercise 1.2](#).]

Conclude that  $\mathbf{R}$  does not have a countable basis as a vector space over  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

*Solution.* Since  $B$  is countable we can enumerate it as  $B = \{b_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $W_n = \text{Span}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $W_n$  is isomorphic (as a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space) to  $\mathbf{Q}^n$ , hence  $W_n$  is countable. I claim that

$$W = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} W_n.$$

One inclusion is obvious, as  $W_n \subseteq W$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . For the other direction, let  $w \in W = \text{Span}(B)$ , so there exist  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{Q}$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$w = a_1 b_{k_1} + \dots + a_n b_{k_n}.$$

Let  $k = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ , then  $w \in W_k$ .

So  $W$  is a countable union of countable sets, hence countable by [Exercise 1.2](#).

The last claim follows directly from the fact that  $\mathbf{R}$  is an uncountable set.  $\square$

We now turn to posets, Zorn's Lemma, and the existence of bases.

A **partially ordered set** (*poset* for short) is a set  $X$  together with a *partial order*  $\leq$ , that is a relation satisfying

- $x \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  then  $x = y$ ;
- if  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq z$  then  $x \leq z$ .

A poset  $X$  such that for any  $x, y \in X$  we have  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$  is called a *totally ordered set*, and  $\leq$  is called a *total order*.

**Exercise 1.6.** Fix a set  $\Omega$  and let  $X$  be the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . Check that  $\subseteq$  is a partial order on  $X$ . It is not a total order if  $\Omega$  has at least two distinct elements.

*Solution.* The fact that  $\subseteq$  is a partial order follows directly from known properties of set inclusion.

If  $\Omega$  has at least two distinct elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , then  $\{x_1\}$  and  $\{x_2\}$  are not comparable under  $\subseteq$ , so the latter is not a total order.  $\square$

A *chain* in a poset  $(X, \leq)$  is a subset  $C \subseteq X$  that is totally ordered with respect to  $\leq$ .

If  $S \subseteq X$  is a subset of a poset, then an *upper bound* for  $S$  is an element  $u \in X$  such that  $s \leq u$  for all  $s \in S$ .

A *maximal element* of a poset  $X$  is an element  $m$  of  $X$  such that there does not exist any  $x \in X$  such that  $x \neq m$  and  $m \leq x$ . In other words, for any  $x \in X$ , either  $x = m$ , or  $x \leq m$ , or  $x$  and  $m$  are not comparable with respect to the partial order  $\leq$ .

**Exercise 1.7.** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a nonempty finite poset. (This just means that  $X$  is a nonempty finite set with a partial order  $\leq$ .) Prove that  $X$  has a maximal element.

[*Hint:* You could, for instance, use induction on the number of elements of  $X$ .]

*Solution.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ , the cardinality of  $X$ .

Base case: if  $n = 1$  then  $X = \{x\}$  for a single element  $x$ . Then trivially  $x$  is a maximal element of  $X$ .

For the induction step, fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and suppose that any poset of cardinality  $n$  has a maximal element. Let  $X$  be a poset of cardinality  $n + 1$  and choose an arbitrary element  $x \in X$ . Let  $Y = X \setminus \{x\}$ , then  $Y$  is a poset of cardinality  $n$  so by the induction hypothesis has a maximal element  $m_Y$ , and clearly  $m_Y \neq x$ .

We have two possibilities now:

- If  $m_Y \leq x$ , then  $x$  is a maximal element of  $X$ . Why? Suppose that  $x$  is not maximal in  $X$ , so that there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $z \neq x$  and  $x \leq z$ . Since  $z \neq x$ , we must have  $z \in Y$ . If  $z = m_Y$ , then  $z \leq x$  and  $x \leq z$  so  $z = x$ , contradiction. So  $z \neq m_Y$ , and  $m_Y \leq x$  and  $x \leq z$ , so  $m_Y \leq z$ , contradicting the maximality of  $m_Y$  in  $Y$ .
- Otherwise, (if it is not true that  $m_Y \leq x$ ),  $m_Y$  is a maximal element of  $X$ . Why? Suppose there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $z \neq m_Y$  and  $m_Y \leq z$ . Since  $m_Y \leq x$  is not true, we have  $z \neq x$ , so  $z \in Y$ , contradicting the maximality of  $m_Y$  in  $Y$ .

In either case we found a maximal element for  $X$ .

An alternative approach is to proceed by contradiction: suppose  $(X, \leq)$  is a nonempty finite poset that does not have a maximal element. Use this to construct an unbounded chain of elements of  $X$ , contradicting finiteness.  $\square$

Zorn's Lemma ([Lemma 1.3](#)) is used to deduce the existence of maximal elements in infinite posets.

**Exercise 1.8.** Prove [Theorem 1.2](#): any vector space  $V$  has a basis.

[*Hint:* Let  $X$  be the set of all linearly independent subsets of  $V$ , partially ordered by inclusion. Prove that  $X$  has a maximal element  $B$ , and prove that this must also span  $V$ .]

*Solution.* If  $V = \{0\}$ , then  $\emptyset$  is vacuously a (in fact, the only) basis of  $V$ .

Suppose  $V \neq \{0\}$ . If  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\{v\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $V$ . Let  $X$  be the set of all linearly independent subsets of  $V$ , then  $X$  is nonempty. We consider the partial order  $\subseteq$  on  $X$  given by inclusion of subsets.

Let  $C$  be a nonempty chain in  $X$  and define

$$U = \bigcup_{S \in C} S,$$

then clearly  $S \subseteq U$  for all  $S \in C$ , so we'll know that  $U$  is an upper bound for  $C$  as soon as we can show that it is linearly independent (so that  $U \in X$ ).

Suppose there exist  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{F}$ , and  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n = 0.$$

Let  $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For each  $j \in J$ , there exists  $S_j \in C$  such that  $u_j \in S_j$ . As  $C$  is totally ordered, there exists  $i \in J$  such that  $S_j \subseteq S_i$  for all  $j \in J$ . But this means that  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in S_i$ , so that the linear relation of [Equation \(1.1\)](#) takes place in the linearly independent set  $S_i$ . Therefore  $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$ .

We conclude that  $X$  satisfies the conditions of Zorn's Lemma, hence it has a maximal element  $B$ . I claim that  $B$  spans  $V$ , so that it is a basis of  $V$ .

We prove this last claim by contradiction: if  $v \in V \setminus \text{Span}(B)$ , then  $B' := B \cup \{v\}$  is linearly independent, hence an element of  $X$ . But  $B \subseteq B'$  and  $B \neq B'$ , contradicting the maximality of  $B$ .  $\square$



## 2. METRIC AND TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Show that

$$|d(x, y) - d(t, y)| \leq d(x, t)$$

for all  $x, y, t \in X$ .

*Solution.* We need to show that

$$-d(x, t) \leq d(x, y) - d(t, y) \leq d(x, t).$$

One application of the triangle inequality gives

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, t) + d(t, y) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, y) - d(t, y) \leq d(x, t).$$

Another application gives

$$d(t, y) \leq d(t, x) + d(x, y) \quad \Rightarrow \quad -d(x, t) \leq d(x, y) - d(t, y). \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Show that

$$|d(x, y) - d(s, t)| \leq d(x, s) + d(y, t)$$

for all  $x, s, y, t \in X$ .

*Solution.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} |d(x, y) - d(s, t)| &= |d(x, y) - d(y, s) + d(y, s) - d(s, t)| \\ &\leq |d(x, y) - d(y, s)| + |d(y, s) - d(s, t)| \\ &\leq d(x, s) + d(y, t) \end{aligned}$$

after one application of the triangle inequality and two applications of [Exercise 2.1](#).  $\square$

**Exercise 2.3.** Fix a prime  $p$  and consider the metric space  $(\mathbf{Q}, d_p)$  where  $d_p$  is the  $p$ -adic metric from [Example 2.1](#).

- Let  $p = 3$  and write down 4 elements of  $\mathbf{B}_1(2)$  and 4 elements of  $\mathbf{B}_{1/9}(3)$ .
- Back to general prime  $p$  now: show that every triangle is isosceles. In other words, given three points in  $\mathbf{Q}$ , at least two of the three resulting ( $p$ -adic) distances are equal.
- Show that every point of an open ball is a centre. In other words, take an open ball  $\mathbf{B}_r(c)$  with  $r \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $c \in \mathbf{Q}$  and suppose  $x \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ ; prove that  $\mathbf{B}_r(c) = \mathbf{B}_r(x)$ .

- (d) Show that given any two open balls, either one of them is contained in the other, or they are completely disjoint.

*Solution.* (a) We have

$$\left\{2, 5, -7, \frac{4}{5}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_1(2)$$

$$\left\{3, 30, -24, \frac{39}{4}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{1/9}(3).$$

- (b) Recall that in the proof of the triangle inequality for the  $p$ -adic metric in [Example 2.1](#), the following stronger result was shown:

$$d_p(x, y) \leq \max\{d_p(x, t), d_p(t, y)\}.$$

with equality holding if  $d_p(x, t) \neq d_p(t, y)$ . But this precisely says that if  $d_p(x, t) \neq d_p(t, y)$ , then  $d_p(x, y)$  has to be equal to the largest of  $d_p(x, t)$  and  $d_p(t, y)$ .

- (c) First  $x \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$  iff  $c \in \mathbf{B}_r(x)$  (this is true for any metric space). So it suffices to show that  $x \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$  implies  $\mathbf{B}_r(x) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ . Let  $y \in \mathbf{B}_r(x)$ , then  $d_p(y, x) < r$ , so that

$$d_p(y, c) \leq \max\{d_p(y, x), d_p(x, c)\} < r,$$

in other words  $y \in \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ .

- (d) Consider two open balls  $\mathbf{B}_r(x)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_t(y)$ . Without loss of generality  $r \leq t$ . Suppose that the balls are not disjoint and let  $z \in \mathbf{B}_r(x) \cap \mathbf{B}_t(y)$ . By part (c) this implies that  $\mathbf{B}_r(z) = \mathbf{B}_r(x)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_t(z) = \mathbf{B}_t(y)$ , so that

$$\mathbf{B}_r(x) = \mathbf{B}_r(z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_t(z) = \mathbf{B}_t(y). \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.4.** Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $X = \mathbf{R}^n$  with the dot product  $\cdot$ ,  $\|x\| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$  for  $x \in X$ , and  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$  for  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. (The function  $d$  is called the *Euclidean metric* or  $\ell^2$  *metric* on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .)

[*Hint:* The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality can be useful for checking the triangle inequality.]

*Solution.* We have

- (a)  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \sqrt{(x - y) \cdot (x - y)} = \sqrt{(-1)^2 (y - x) \cdot (y - x)} = \|y - x\| = d(y, x);$   
 (b) Let  $u = x - t$  and  $v = t - y$ , then we are looking to show that  $\|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$ . But:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u + v\|^2 &= (u + v) \cdot (u + v) = \|u\|^2 + 2u \cdot v + \|v\|^2 \leq \|u\|^2 + 2|u \cdot v| + \|v\|^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|^2 + 2\|u\| \|v\| + \|v\|^2 = (\|u\| + \|v\|)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality sign comes from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

- (c)  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $(x - y) \cdot (x - y) = 0$  iff  $x - y = 0$  iff  $x = y$ . □

**Exercise 2.5.** Draw the unit open balls in the metric spaces  $(\mathbf{R}^2, d_1)$  ([Example 2.4](#)),  $(\mathbf{R}^2, d_2)$  ([Exercise 2.4](#)), and  $(\mathbf{R}^2, d_\infty)$  ([Example 2.5](#)).

*Solution.* The Manhattan unit open ball is the interior of the square with vertices  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, -1)$ ,  $(-1, 0)$ , and  $(0, 1)$ .

The Euclidean unit open ball is the interior of the unit circle centred at  $(0, 0)$ .

The sup metric unit open ball is the interior of the square with vertices  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$ , and  $(-1, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and define

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Prove that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. (The function  $d$  is called the *discrete metric* on  $X$ .)

*Solution.* It is clear from the definition that  $d(y, x) = d(x, y)$  and that  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ .

For the triangle inequality, take  $x, y, t \in X$  and consider the different cases:

$x = y$	$x = t$	$t = y$	$d(x, y)$	$d(x, t) + d(t, y)$
True	True	True	0	$0 + 0 = 0$
True	False	False	0	$1 + 1 = 2$
False	True	False	1	$1 + 0 = 1$
False	False	True	1	$0 + 1 = 1$
False	False	False	1	$1 + 1 = 2$

In all cases we see that  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, t) + d(t, y)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.7.** Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $X = \mathbf{F}_2^n$ , and let  $d(x, y)$  be the number of indices  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x_i \neq y_i$ . Prove that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. (The function  $d$  is called the *Hamming metric*.)

*Solution.* Do this from scratch if you want to, but I prefer to deduce it from other examples we have seen.

First look at the case  $n = 1$ ,  $X = \mathbf{F}_2$ . Then  $d(x, y)$  is precisely the discrete metric on  $\mathbf{F}_2$  (see [Exercise 2.6](#)), in particular it is a metric. I'll denote it  $d_{\mathbf{F}_2}$  for a moment to minimise confusion.

Back in the arbitrary  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  case, note that  $d(x, y)$  defined above can be expressed as

$$d(x, y) = d_{\mathbf{F}_2}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + d_{\mathbf{F}_2}(x_n, y_n),$$

which is a special case of [Example 2.4](#), therefore also a metric.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.8.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $A \subseteq X$ .

- Prove that the set  $A$  is open if and only if it is the union of a collection of open balls.
- Conclude that the set of all open balls in  $X$  generates the metric topology of  $X$ .

*Solution.* (a) In one direction, if  $A$  is a union of a collection of open balls, then  $A$  is open by [Example 2.10](#) and [Proposition 2.11](#).

In the other direction, suppose  $A$  is open. Let  $a \in A$ , then there exists an open ball  $\mathbf{B}_{r(a)}(a) \subseteq A$ . Then

$$A = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathbf{B}_{r(a)}(a).$$

(b) Follows immediately from the definition of the topology generated by a set.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.9.** Let  $Y$  be a subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$  and consider the induced metric on  $Y$ .

(a) Prove that for any  $y \in Y$  and any  $r \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  we have

$$\mathbf{B}_r^Y(y) = \mathbf{B}_r^X(y) \cap Y,$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_r^X(y)$  is the open ball of radius  $r$  centred at  $y$  in  $X$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_r^Y(y)$  is the open ball of radius  $r$  centred at  $y$  in  $Y$ .

(b) Let  $A \subseteq Y$ . Prove that  $A$  is an open set in  $Y$  if and only if there exists an open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $A = U \cap Y$ .

*Solution.* (a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_r^X(y) &= \{x \in X : d(x, y) < r\} \\ \mathbf{B}_r^Y(y) &= \{x \in Y : d(x, y) < r\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathbf{B}_r^X(y) \cap Y = \{x \in X : d(x, y) < r\} \cap Y = \{x \in Y : d(x, y) < r\} = \mathbf{B}_r^Y(y).$$

(b) In one direction, suppose  $A$  is open in  $Y$ ; by [Exercise 2.8](#) we have some indexing set  $I$  such that

$$A = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{B}_{r_i}^Y(a_i),$$

with  $r_i > 0$  and  $a_i \in A$  for all  $i \in I$ . We can then let

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathbf{B}_{r_i}^X(a_i),$$

which by [Exercise 2.8](#) is an open in  $X$ . It is clear that  $A = U \cap Y$  by part (a).

Conversely, suppose  $A = U \cap Y$  with  $U$  open in  $X$ . Let  $a \in A$ , then  $a \in U$  so there exists an open (in  $X$ ) ball  $\mathbf{B}_r^X(a)$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_r^X(a) \subseteq U$ . Consider  $\mathbf{B}_r^Y(a) = \mathbf{B}_r^X(a) \cap Y \subseteq U \cap Y = A$ . So every point  $a \in A$  is contained in an open (in  $Y$ ) ball, hence  $A$  is open in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.10.** Prove that any closed ball is a closed set.

*Solution.* This is a variation on [Example 2.10](#) and a generalisation of [Example 2.9](#) (which is the case  $r = 0$ ).

Consider  $C = \mathbf{D}_r(x)$  with  $x \in X$ ,  $r \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $y \in X \setminus C$ , then  $d(x, y) > r$ . Set  $t = d(x, y) - r$  and consider the open ball  $\mathbf{B}_t(y)$ .

I claim that  $\mathbf{B}_t(y) \subseteq (X \setminus C)$ : if  $w \in \mathbf{B}_t(y)$  then  $d(w, y) < t$  so

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, w) + d(w, y) \leq d(x, w) + t \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, w) \geq d(x, y) - t = r,$$

hence  $w \notin C$ . □

**Exercise 2.11.** Show that any  $p$ -adic open ball in  $\mathbf{Q}$  is both an open set and a closed set.

*Solution.* Any open ball in any metric space is an open set (Example 2.10). Let's show that an arbitrary  $p$ -adic open ball  $\mathbf{B}_r(c)$  is closed.

Let  $U = \mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ . Given  $u \in U$ , we have  $|u - c|_p \geq r$ .

I claim that  $\mathbf{B}_r(u) \subseteq U$ , which would imply that  $U$  is open, so that  $\mathbf{B}_r(c)$  is closed.

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists  $t \in \mathbf{B}_r(u) \cap \mathbf{B}_r(c)$ . Then  $|u - t|_p < r$  and  $|t - c|_p < r$ , so that

$$|u - c|_p = |(u - t) + (t - c)|_p \leq \max\{|u - t|_p, |t - c|_p\} < r,$$

contradicting the fact that  $|u - c|_p \geq r$ . □

**Exercise 2.12.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and define

$$d'(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$

Prove that  $(X, d')$  is a metric space.

[Hint: Before tackling the triangle inequality, show that if  $a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfy  $c \leq a + b$ , then  $\frac{c}{1+c} \leq \frac{a}{1+a} + \frac{b}{1+b}$ .]

*Solution.* It is clear from the definition that  $d'(x, y) = d'(y, x)$  and that  $d'(x, y) = 0$  iff  $d(x, y) = 0$  iff  $x = y$ .

For the triangle inequality, apply the inequality in the hint with  $c = d(x, y)$ ,  $a = d(x, t)$ ,  $b = d(t, y)$ . □

**Exercise 2.13.**

- (a) Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function between two sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , and let  $S \subseteq Y$ . Prove that

$$f^{-1}(S) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus S).$$

- (b) Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function between topological spaces. Prove that  $f$  is continuous if and only if: for any closed subset  $C \subseteq Y$ , the inverse image  $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq X$  is a closed subset.

*Solution.*

- (a) We have  $x \in f^{-1}(S)$  iff  $f(x) \in S$  iff  $f(x) \notin (Y \setminus S)$  iff  $x \notin f^{-1}(Y \setminus S)$ .

(b) Suppose  $f$  is continuous and  $C \subseteq Y$  is closed. By part (a) we have

$$f^{-1}(C) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus C).$$

Then  $(Y \setminus C) \subseteq Y$  is open and  $f$  is continuous, so  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus C) \subseteq X$  is open, therefore  $f^{-1}(C)$  is closed.

Conversely, suppose the inverse image of any closed subset is closed. Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be open, then by part (a) we have

$$f^{-1}(V) = X \setminus f^{-1}(Y \setminus V).$$

So  $(Y \setminus V) \subseteq Y$  is closed, so  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) \subseteq X$  is closed, hence  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open. We conclude that  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.14.** This is a variation on [Tutorial Question 2.7](#).

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function and  $\mathcal{T}_X$  a topology on  $X$ . Define

$$\mathcal{T}_Y = \{U \in \mathcal{P}(Y) : f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_X\}.$$

- Prove that  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is the finest topology on  $Y$  such that  $f$  is continuous. (This topology is called the *final topology* induced by  $f$ .)
- Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be another topology on  $Y$ . Prove that  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T})$  is continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ .
- Use an example to prove that  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  need not be metrisable even when  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is a metric topology.
- Give an example in which  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is metrisable but  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is not.

[*Hint:* For (c) and (d), consider using [Tutorial Question 2.3](#).]

*Solution.*

(a) We start with proving that  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is a topology:

- Since  $\emptyset = f^{-1}(\emptyset)$  and  $X = f^{-1}(Y)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  contains  $\emptyset$  and  $Y$ .
- If  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a collection of members of  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ , then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(U_i) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right) \in \mathcal{T}_X.$$

- If  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are members of  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ , then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_i) = f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i\right) \in \mathcal{T}_X.$$

If  $\mathcal{T}$  is a topology on  $Y$  such that  $f$  is continuous, then  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_X$  for every member  $U$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , so  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_Y$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is the finest topology such that  $f$  is continuous.

- (b) The ‘only if’ part has been proven in part (a), so it suffices to prove the ‘if’ part. Suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{T}_Y$ . If  $U$  is a member of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ , which implies that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$ . It follows that  $f$  is continuous when the topology on  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- (c) Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  be the set of real numbers equipped with the Euclidean topology. Put  $Y = \{0, 1\}$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$ . The topology  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is defined by the Euclidean metric, but  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is not metrisable (see [Tutorial Question 2.3](#)).

- (d) Put  $X = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $Y = \{1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_X = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be the function sending both 0 and 1 to 1. It follows that  $\mathcal{T}_Y = \{\emptyset, \{0, 1\}\}$ . The topology  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  is defined by the discrete metric (see [Tutorial Question 2.1](#)), but  $\mathcal{T}_X$  is not metrisable (see [Tutorial Question 2.3](#)).  $\square$

**Exercise 2.15.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $U \subseteq X$  a subset. Prove that  $U$  is open in  $X$  if and only if: for all  $u \in U$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $V_u$  of  $u$  such that  $V_u \subseteq U$ .

*Solution.* One direction is obvious: if  $U$  is open in  $X$ , then given any  $u \in U$  we can take  $V_u = U$  as an open neighbourhood contained in  $U$ .

In the other direction, suppose  $U$  has the given property at every  $u \in U$ . Then

$$U = \bigcup_{u \in U} V_u,$$

therefore  $U$  is open, since it is the union of the collection  $\{V_u : u \in U\}$  of open sets.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.16.** Prove [Proposition 2.21](#):

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$  two topologies on  $X$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{T}_1$  (that is,  $\mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$ );
- (b) for any  $x \in X$  and any  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -open neighbourhood  $U_x^2$  of  $x$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -open neighbourhood  $U_x^1$  of  $x$  such that  $U_x^1 \subseteq U_x^2$ ;
- (c) the function  $f: (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{T}_2)$  given by  $f(x) = x$  is continuous.

*Solution.* **(a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c):** Since  $f^{-1}(S) = S$  for any subset  $S$  of  $X$ , we have:

( $\mathcal{T}_2$  is coarser than  $\mathcal{T}_1$ ) if and only if (if  $U \in \mathcal{T}_2$  then  $U \in \mathcal{T}_1$ ) if and only if (if  $U \in \mathcal{T}_2$  then  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}_1$ ) if and only if ( $f$  is continuous).

**(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b):** trivial, since if  $x \in U_x^2$  and  $U_x^2 \in \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$ , we can take  $U_x^1 = U_x^2$  and we are done.

**(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a):** Let  $U \in \mathcal{T}_2$ . We use [Exercise 2.15](#) to prove that  $U \in \mathcal{T}_1$ . Let  $x \in U$ , then setting  $U_x^2 = U$  we have that  $U_x^2$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -open neighbourhood of  $x$ , so by (b) there exists a

$c\mathcal{T}_1$ -open neighbourhood  $U_x^1$  of  $x$  such that  $U_x^1 \subseteq U$ . By [Exercise 2.15](#) we conclude that  $U$  is open in the topology  $\mathcal{T}_1$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.17.** Generalise [Example 2.9](#) to the setting of Hausdorff topological spaces; in other words, prove that if  $X$  is a Hausdorff topological space, then any singleton  $\{x\} \subseteq X$  is a closed set.

*Solution.* Let  $U = X \setminus \{x\}$  and let  $u \in U$ . Then  $u \neq x$ , so by the Hausdorff property of  $X$ , there exist open neighbourhoods  $V_1$  of  $u$  and  $V_2$  of  $x$  such that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . In particular,  $x \notin V_1$ , so  $V_1 \subseteq U$ . As we have exhibited an open neighbourhood contained in  $U$  around every element of  $U$ , we conclude by [Exercise 2.15](#) that  $U$  is open, so its complement  $\{x\}$  is closed.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.18.** Show that the union of any finite collection of closed sets is closed. Show that the intersection of any arbitrary collection of closed sets is closed.

*Solution.* Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and let  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  be closed subsets of  $X$ . Let

$$C = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i,$$

then the complement of  $C$  is

$$X \setminus C = X \setminus \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i \right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X \setminus C_i).$$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $C_i$  is closed so  $X \setminus C_i$  is open, therefore  $X \setminus C$  is the intersection of finitely many open sets, hence is itself open by the topology axioms. We conclude that  $C$  is closed.

For the second statement, let  $\{C_i : i \in I\}$  be a collection of closed subsets of  $X$ , indexed by a set  $I$ . Let

$$C = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i,$$

then the complement of  $C$  is

$$X \setminus C = X \setminus \left( \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \right) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (X \setminus C_i).$$

For each  $i \in I$ ,  $C_i$  is closed so  $X \setminus C_i$  is open, hence  $X \setminus C$  is the union of a collection of open sets, so is itself open by the topology axioms. We conclude that  $C$  is closed.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.19.** Prove [Proposition 2.27](#): A subset  $D$  of a topological space  $X$  is disconnected if and only if there exist open subsets  $U, V \subseteq X$  such that

$$D \subseteq U \cup V, \quad D \cap U \cap V = \emptyset, \quad D \cap U \neq \emptyset, \quad D \cap V \neq \emptyset.$$

*Solution.* By definition  $D$  is a disconnected subset of  $X$  if and only if it is a disconnected topological space in the induced topology. The latter is by definition: there exist  $U', V'$



open subsets of  $D$  such that

$$D = U' \cup V', \quad U' \cap V' = \emptyset, \quad U' \neq \emptyset, \quad V' \neq \emptyset.$$

But  $U', V'$  are open in  $D$  if and only if there exist open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$  such that  $U' = U \cap D, V' = V \cap D$ , from which the claim follows.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.20.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $\{y\}$  be a one-point topological space. Prove that  $X \times \{y\}$  (with the product topology) is homeomorphic to  $X$ .

*Solution.* Let  $f: X \times \{y\} \rightarrow X$  be the map  $f(x, y) = x$  and let  $g: X \rightarrow X \times \{y\}$  be the map  $g(x) = (x, y)$ . It is clear that  $g$  is the inverse of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is simply the projection onto the first factor of the product, it is continuous by [Proposition 2.19](#). To show that  $g$  is continuous, consider a rectangle in  $X \times \{y\}$ : this is either  $\emptyset$  or  $U \times \{y\}$  for some open set  $U \subseteq X$ . Then  $g^{-1}(U \times \{y\}) = U$  is open in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.21.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces, where the topology on  $Y$  is the trivial topology. Prove that every function from  $X$  to  $Y$  is continuous.

*Solution.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. The only open subsets of  $Y$  are  $\emptyset$  and  $Y$ . Since  $f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ , it follows that  $f$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.22.** Prove that every constant function between topological spaces is continuous.

*Solution.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. Pick a point  $y$  in  $Y$  and define  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  to be the constant function sending every element of  $X$  to  $y$ . If  $U$  is an open subset of  $Y$ , then

$$f^{-1}(U) = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } y \in U, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.23.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $S$  be a subset of  $X$ . Prove that the inclusion  $\iota: S \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\iota(x) = x$  is continuous when  $S$  is given the subspace topology induced from  $X$ .

Conclude that the identity function  $\text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous.

*Solution.* If  $U$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then  $\iota^{-1}(U) = U \cap S$ , which is open in  $S$  by the definition of the subspace topology. Hence  $\iota$  is continuous.

The identity function is the special case  $S = X$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.24.** A subset  $D \subseteq X$  of a topological space  $X$  is dense in  $X$  if and only if  $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all nonempty open sets  $U$  in  $X$ .

*Solution.* Suppose  $D$  is dense, so  $\overline{D} = X$ , and let  $U$  be nonempty open. If  $D \cap U = \emptyset$  then  $D \subseteq X \setminus U$ . But  $X \setminus U$  is a closed subset of  $X$  containing  $D$ , so by the minimality

property of  $\overline{D}$  we have  $\overline{D} \subseteq X \setminus U$ . As  $U \neq \emptyset$ , this means  $\overline{D} \neq X$ , contradiction.

Conversely, suppose  $D \cap U$  is nonempty for any nonempty open  $U$ . If  $\overline{D} \neq X$  then  $U := X \setminus \overline{D}$  is a nonempty open subset of  $X$ , so  $D \cap (X \setminus \overline{D}) \neq \emptyset$ . But this is absurd since  $D \subseteq \overline{D}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.25.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. The intersection of two dense open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  is dense and open.

*Solution.* Let  $U_{12} = U_1 \cap U_2$ . We know already that  $U_{12}$  is open.

To show that  $U_{12}$  is dense, we use [Exercise 2.24](#) and show that  $U_{12} \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for all nonempty open  $U$ :

$$U_{12} \cap U = (U_1 \cap U_2) \cap U = U_1 \cap (U_2 \cap U).$$

Since  $U_2$  is dense and open,  $U_2 \cap U$  is nonempty and open. Since  $U_1$  is dense,  $U_1 \cap (U_2 \cap U)$  is nonempty. So  $U_{12} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $U_{12}$  is dense.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.26.** Give explicit continuous surjective functions  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow I$ , where  $I$  is:

- (a)  $\mathbf{R}$       (b)  $(0, \infty)$     (c)  $(-\infty, 0)$       (d)  $(-\infty, 0]$     (e)  $[-1, 1]$   
 (f)  $(0, 1]$     (g)  $[0, 1)$       (h)  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$     (i)  $\{0\}$ .

[*Hint:* Draw some functions you know from calculus and see what their ranges are.]

*Solution.* These are of course not the only possible answers (well, except for the last one).

(a)  $x \mapsto x$ ;

(b)  $x \mapsto e^x$ ;

(c)  $x \mapsto -e^x$ ;

(d)  $x \mapsto -x^2$ ;

(e)  $x \mapsto \sin(x)$ ;

(f)  $x \mapsto \min\{e^x, 1\}$ ;

(g)  $x \mapsto \max\{-e^x, -1\} + 1$ ;

(h)  $x \mapsto \arctan(x)$ ;

(i)  $x \mapsto 0$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.27.** Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $X$ . Prove that

$$X \setminus A^\circ = \overline{X \setminus A}.$$

*Solution.* Since  $A^\circ \subseteq A$ , we have  $(X \setminus A) \subseteq (X \setminus A^\circ)$ . But  $A^\circ$  is open, so  $X \setminus A^\circ$  is a closed set containing  $X \setminus A$ , hence

$$\overline{X \setminus A} \subseteq X \setminus A^\circ.$$

For the opposite inclusion, note that  $(X \setminus A) \subseteq \overline{X \setminus A}$ , so

$$X \setminus \overline{X \setminus A} \subseteq X \setminus (X \setminus A) = A,$$

therefore  $X \setminus \overline{X \setminus A}$  is an open set contained in  $A$ , so that

$$X \setminus \overline{X \setminus A} \subseteq A^\circ,$$

which implies that  $X \setminus A^\circ \subseteq \overline{X \setminus A}$ . □

### Exercise 2.28.

- (a) Show that a topological group  $G$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\{e\}$  is a closed subset of  $G$ .
- (b) Show that if  $G$  is a Hausdorff topological group then its centre  $Z$  is a closed subgroup.
- (c) Show that if  $f: G \rightarrow H$  is a continuous group homomorphism and  $H$  is Hausdorff, then  $\ker(f)$  is a closed normal subgroup of  $G$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) By [Exercise 2.17](#), if  $G$  is Hausdorff then the singleton  $\{e\}$  is closed.

Conversely, suppose  $\{e\}$  is a closed subset of  $G$ . Consider the map  $f: G \times G \rightarrow G$  given by  $f(g, h) = g^{-1}h$ , then  $f$  is continuous and

$$f^{-1}(e) = \{(g, g) : g \in G\} = \Delta(G)$$

(see [Tutorial Question 3.9](#)). Since  $f$  is continuous and  $\{e\}$  is closed,  $\Delta(G)$  is closed in  $G \times G$ , so by [Tutorial Question 3.9](#),  $G$  is Hausdorff.

- (b) We have

$$Z = \{g \in G : gxg^{-1}x^{-1} = e \text{ for all } x \in G\} = \bigcap_{x \in G} \{g \in G : gxg^{-1}x^{-1} = e\}$$

which is an intersection of closed sets, since each of the sets is the inverse image of  $\{e\}$  under the continuous map  $g \mapsto gxg^{-1}x^{-1}$ .

- (c) The assertion is immediate from  $\ker(f) = f^{-1}(e)$ . □

**Exercise 2.29.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric spaces. Prove that

$$(x_n) \sim (y_n) \quad \text{if } (d(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

defines an equivalence relation on the set of sequences in  $X$ .

*Solution.* The reflexivity  $(x_n) \sim (x_n)$  and symmetry  $(x_n) \sim (y_n) \iff (y_n) \sim (x_n)$  are very clear. For the transitivity, suppose  $(x_n) \sim (y_n)$  and  $(y_n) \sim (z_n)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists  $N_1 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N_1$ . There exists  $N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $d(y_n, z_n) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N_2$ . Letting  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  we have (by the triangle

inequality)

$$d(x_n, z_n) \leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, z_n) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

So  $(x_n) \sim (z_n)$ . □

**Exercise 2.30.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Suppose  $\{C_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is a countable collection of connected subsets of  $X$  such that  $C_n \cap C_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} C_n$$

is a connected subset of  $X$ .

*Solution.* Let  $f: \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} C_n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a continuous function, where  $\{0, 1\}$  is given the discrete topology. Pick an element  $x_0$  of  $C_0$ . We use induction to prove that  $f(C_n) = \{f(x_0)\}$  for every natural number  $n$ .

The base case when  $n = 0$  follows from the connectedness of  $C_0$  and [Proposition 2.29](#).

For the induction step, suppose the statement is true for a natural number  $n$  and consider an element  $x$  of  $C_{n+1}$ . Since  $C_n \cap C_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ , we can pick an element  $x'$  of  $C_n \cap C_{n+1}$ . By the induction hypothesis, we have  $f(x') = f(x_0)$ . It then follows from the connectedness of  $C_{n+1}$  and [Proposition 2.29](#) that  $f(x) = f(x') = f(x_0)$ .

Hence  $f$  is constant, which implies that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} C_n$  is connected. □

**Exercise 2.31.** Give  $\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  the subspace topology. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $(x_n)$  a sequence in  $X$ . Prove that  $(x_n)$  is a continuous function  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$ .

*Solution.* First note that the subspace topology on  $\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is the discrete topology: for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have  $\{n\} = (n-1, n+1) \cap \mathbf{N}$ , so  $\{n\}$  is open in  $\mathbf{N}$ . Therefore every subset of  $\mathbf{N}$  is open, hence every function  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow X$  is continuous. □

**Exercise 2.32.** Any sequence has at most one limit.

*Solution.* Suppose  $x$  and  $x'$  are two limits of a sequence  $(x_n)$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N, N' \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$x_n \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(x) \quad \text{for all } n \geq N \quad \text{and} \quad x_n \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(x') \quad \text{for all } n \geq N'.$$

Therefore, for  $n = \max\{N, N'\}$  we have  $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(x) \cap \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(x')$ , which (via the triangle inequality) implies that  $d(x, x') < \varepsilon$ .

Since this holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we conclude that  $d(x, x') = 0$  so that  $x = x'$ . □

**Exercise 2.33.** Show that any distance-preserving function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. In particular, any isometry is a homeomorphism.

*Solution.* Let  $x \in X$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if  $x' \in \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x)$  then  $d_X(x, x') < \varepsilon$ , so

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) = d_X(x, x') < \varepsilon,$$

hence  $f(x') \in \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x))$ . □

**Exercise 2.34.** A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between topological spaces is said to be *open* if for every open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , the image  $f(U) \subseteq Y$  is an open subset.

- (a) Show that an open continuous bijective map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism.
- (b) Suppose  $S$  generates the topology on  $X$  and let  $S'$  denote the set of all finite intersections of elements of  $S$ . Show that  $f$  is open if and only if  $f(U) \subseteq Y$  is an open subset for all  $U \in S'$ .  
(Compare this to [Tutorial Question 2.6](#). Where is the difference coming from?)
- (c) Show that the projection maps  $\pi_1: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_1$  and  $\pi_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  are open maps.

*Solution.*

- (a) We need to check that  $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$  is continuous; let  $U \subseteq X$  be open, then  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(U) = f(U)$  is open in  $Y$  since  $f$  is an open map.
- (b) One direction is trivial. For the other direction, we are told that every open subset  $U$  of  $X$  is of the form

$$U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i, \quad U_i \in S'.$$

Then

$$f(U) = \bigcup_{i \in I} f(U_i).$$

By assumption each  $f(U_i)$  is open in  $Y$ , so their union must also be an open subset.

- (c) By part (b) and [Example 2.18](#), we only need to check the open condition on open rectangles  $U_1 \times U_2 \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$ : we have  $\pi_1(U_1 \times U_2) = U_1$ , clearly open in  $X_1$ . Same for  $\pi_2$ . □

**Exercise 2.35.** Give  $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  the induced metric and consider the sequence  $(x_n)$  defined recursively by

$$x_1 = 1, \quad x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{2} + \frac{1}{x_n} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

- (a) Prove that  $1 \leq x_n \leq 2$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and breathe a sigh of relief that the recursive definition does not accidentally divide by 0.
- (b) For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let  $y_n = x_{n+1} - x_n$ . Prove that

$$y_{n+1} = -\frac{y_n^2}{2x_{n+1}} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

- (c) Prove that

$$|y_n| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

- (d) Show that  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy.  
 (e) Show that  $(x_n)$  converges to  $\sqrt{2}$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , and conclude that  $\mathbf{Q}$  is not complete.

*Solution.*

- (a) Induction on  $n$ . Base case  $x_1 = 1$  clear.

Fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and suppose  $1 \leq x_n \leq 2$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{x_n}{2} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{x_n} \leq 1,$$

so  $1 \leq x_{n+1} \leq 2$ .

- (b) Fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Noting that  $2x_n x_{n+1} = x_n^2 + 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_n^2 &= (x_{n+1} - x_n)^2 = x_{n+1}^2 - 2x_{n+1}x_n + x_n^2 = x_{n+1}^2 - 2 \\ 2x_{n+1}y_{n+1} &= 2x_{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \frac{x_{n+1}}{2} \right) = 2 - x_{n+1}^2 = -y_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) From part (b) we have

$$|y_{n+1}| = \frac{|y_n|^2}{2x_{n+1}} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

We can use this, part (a), and induction by  $n$ .

For the base case we have  $y_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

For the induction step, fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and suppose  $|y_n| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ , then

$$|y_{n+1}| = \frac{|y_n|^2}{2x_{n+1}} \leq \frac{|y_n|^2}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}.$$

- (d) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $2^{N-1} > 1/\varepsilon$ . If  $n \geq m \geq N$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n - x_m| &= |y_{n-1} + y_{n-2} + \cdots + y_m| \\ &\leq |y_{n-1}| + \cdots + |y_m| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^m} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2^{n-m-1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n-m-2}} + \cdots + 1 \right) \frac{1}{2^m} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{2^m} \leq \frac{1}{2^N} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that the geometric series with ratio  $1/2$  sums up to 2.

- (e) Thinking of  $(x_n)$  as a sequence in  $\mathbf{R}$ , it converges to some limit  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  by the completeness of  $\mathbf{R}$ . We can therefore take limits as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides of the defining relation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{2} + \frac{1}{x_n} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}$$

to get

$$x = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{x} \Rightarrow x^2 = 2.$$

Throwing in the fact that  $x \geq 1$ , we conclude that  $x = \sqrt{2}$ .

The conclusion that  $\mathbf{Q}$  is not complete now follows from the fact that  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbf{Q}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.36.** Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and let  $S \subseteq X$ . Then the closure  $\overline{S}$  (with the metric induced from  $\overline{S} \subseteq X$ ) is a completion of  $S$  (with the metric induced from  $S \subseteq X$ ).

*Solution.* Of course,  $\overline{S}$  is complete: if  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\overline{S}$ , then it is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , so  $(x_n) \rightarrow x \in X$  since  $X$  is complete. But  $\overline{S}$  is closed, so  $(x_n) \rightarrow x \in \overline{S}$ .

We let  $\iota: S \rightarrow \overline{S}$  be the inclusion map:  $\iota(s) = s$  for all  $s \in S$ . It is injective and distance-preserving (as  $d_S$  and  $d_{\overline{S}}$  are both induced from  $d_X$ ).

Finally,  $S$  is dense in  $\overline{S}$ : by [Proposition 2.50](#), for every  $x \in \overline{S}$  there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  in  $S$  such that  $(s_n) \rightarrow x$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.37.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in a metric space  $X$ , let  $\varphi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  be an injective function, and consider the sequence  $(y_n) = (x_{\varphi(n)})$  in  $X$ . Prove that if  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$ , then so does  $(y_n)$ .

Does the converse hold?

*Solution.* Suppose  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that  $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x)$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

Since  $\varphi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  is injective, the inverse image  $\varphi^{-1}(\{1, \dots, N-1\})$  is a finite set, so it has a maximal element  $M$ . (If the set is empty, just take  $M = 0$ .) For all  $n \geq M+1$ , we have  $\varphi(n) \geq N$ , so  $y_n = x_{\varphi(n)} \in \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x)$ .

The converse certainly does not hold. For instance, take  $(x_n) = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  and  $\varphi(n) = 2n$ , then the sequence  $(y_n) = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$  converges to 0 but  $(x_n)$  does not converge.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.38.** Show that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map between topological spaces and  $A \subseteq X$  then  $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ .

*Solution.* Let  $x \in \overline{A}$ , let  $y = f(x)$ , and suppose that  $y \notin \overline{f(A)}$ . Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $V \subseteq (Y \setminus \overline{f(A)})$  with  $y \in V$ . As  $f$  is continuous, there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \subseteq X$  of  $x$  with  $f(U) \subseteq V$ ; as  $V$  does not intersect  $\overline{f(A)}$ , we get that  $U$  does not intersect  $A$ , contradicting the fact that  $x \in \overline{A}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.39.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We say that a collection of closed subsets of  $X$  has the *finite intersection property* if every finite subcollection has nonempty intersection.

Prove that  $X$  is compact if and only if every collection of closed sets with the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection.

*Solution.* Suppose  $X$  is compact and  $\{C_i: i \in I\}$  is a collection of closed sets with the

finite intersection property. Suppose that

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i = \emptyset.$$

Then

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i, \quad \text{where } U_i := X \setminus C_i,$$

is an open covering of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact, there exists a finite subset  $J \subseteq I$  such that

$$X = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j,$$

which implies that

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} C_j = \emptyset,$$

contradicting the finite intersection property of the collection  $\{C_i : i \in I\}$ .

Conversely, suppose every collection of closed sets of  $X$  with the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection. Suppose that  $X$  is not compact, so there exists an open cover of  $X$ :

$$X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$$

with no finite subcover.

For each  $i \in I$ , let  $C_i = X \setminus U_i$ . Then for every finite  $J \subseteq I$ ,  $\{U_i : i \in J\}$  is not a cover of  $X$ , which means that the collection  $\{C_i : i \in J\}$  has nonempty intersection. Hence the collection  $\{C_i : i \in I\}$  has the finite intersection property, but note that the collection itself has empty intersection, since  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a cover of  $X$ , so we have reached a contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.40.** Check (directly from the definition of uniform continuity) that  $f : \mathbf{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  is not uniformly continuous.

*Solution.* First make sure that you negate the condition in the definition correctly: there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$  there exist  $x, x'$  such that  $x' \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(x)$  and  $f(x') \notin \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x))$ .

And now, to work: let  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Take an arbitrary  $\delta > 0$ . Set  $x = \min\{\delta, 1\}$ . I claim that  $x' := x/2$  satisfies the desired condition. Let's check:

$$|x - x'| = \frac{x}{2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta,$$

so indeed  $x' \in \mathbf{B}_\delta(x)$ .

Also

$$|f(x) - f(x')| = \left| \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x'} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{x} \right| = \frac{1}{x} \geq 1 = \varepsilon,$$

so indeed  $f(x') \notin \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(f(x))$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.41.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a uniformly continuous function between two metric spaces and suppose  $(x_n) \sim (x'_n)$  are equivalent sequences in  $X$ . Prove that  $(f(x_n)) \sim (f(x'_n))$  as sequences in  $Y$ .



Does the conclusion hold if  $f$  is only assumed to be continuous?

*Solution.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . As  $f$  is uniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, x' \in X$ , if  $d_X(x, x') < \delta$  then  $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) < \varepsilon$ . As  $(x_n) \sim (x'_n)$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $d_X(x_n, x'_n) < \delta$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence for all  $n \geq N$  we have  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) < \varepsilon$ .

The result does not hold in general for continuous functions; for instance one can take  $f: \mathbf{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ , and  $(1/n) \sim (1/n^2)$  but  $(f(1/n)) = (n)$ ,  $(f(1/n^2)) = (n^2)$  and  $(n) \not\sim (n^2)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.42.** In the context of the proof of [Theorem 2.62](#), show that if  $(x_n) \sim (x'_n)$  and  $(y_n) \sim (y'_n)$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x'_n, y'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n).$$

*Solution.* This uses the same approach as [Proposition 2.56](#): we have

$$|d(x'_n, y'_n) - d(x_n, y_n)| \leq d(x'_n, x_n) + d(y'_n, y_n).$$

But by assumption the two distances on the RHS can be made arbitrarily small, so we conclude that  $d(x'_n, y'_n)$  and  $d(x_n, y_n)$  can be made arbitrarily close, hence they have the same limit.

(This explanation shouldn't keep you from writing a more rigorous proof.)  $\square$

**Exercise 2.43.** Let  $X = \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ ,  $Y = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  given by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . For  $\widehat{X} = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  and  $\widehat{Y} = Y = \mathbf{R}$ , prove that there is no continuous function  $\widehat{f}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{Y}$  such that  $\widehat{f}|_X = f$ .

*Solution.* Suppose that a continuous extension  $\widehat{f}: \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  exists. Consider the sequence  $(x_n) = (\frac{1}{n}) \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ . By continuity of  $\widehat{f}$  we must have

$$\widehat{f}(0) = \widehat{f}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n.$$

But the rightmost limit does not exist (in  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ ), contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.44.** Prove that any contraction is uniformly continuous.

*Solution.* Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a contraction with constant  $C$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and set  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{C+1}$ , then for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $d_X(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ , we have

$$d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq C d_X(x_1, x_2) \leq C \delta = \frac{C}{C+1} \varepsilon < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.45.** Show that a subset  $S \subseteq X$  is bounded if and only if  $S \subseteq \mathbf{D}_r(x)$  for some  $r \geq 0$  and some  $x \in X$ .

*Solution.* If  $S \subseteq \mathbf{D}_r(x)$  then  $\text{diam}(S) \leq \text{diam}(\mathbf{D}_r(x)) = 2r$  so  $S$  is bounded.

Conversely, suppose  $S$  is bounded and let  $r = \text{diam}(S)$ . Let  $x \in S$  be any point, then  $d(x, y) \leq r$  for all  $y \in S$ , so that  $S \subseteq \mathbf{D}_r(x)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.46.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $A, B$  be bounded sets. Then  $A \cup B$  is bounded.

*Solution.* Let  $a \in A, b \in B$ , and  $r = d(a, b)$ . I claim that the diameter of  $A \cup B$  is at most  $\text{diam}(A) + r + \text{diam}(B)$ . If  $x, y \in A \cup B$  then

$$d(x, y) \leq \begin{cases} \text{diam}(A) & \text{if } x, y \in A \\ \text{diam}(B) & \text{if } x, y \in B \\ d(x, a) + d(a, b) + d(b, y) \leq \text{diam}(A) + r + \text{diam}(B) & \text{if } x \in A, y \in B \\ d(y, a) + d(a, b) + d(b, x) \leq \text{diam}(A) + r + \text{diam}(B) & \text{if } x \in B, y \in A. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.47.** In any metric space  $(X, d)$ , any totally bounded set  $S$  is bounded.

*Solution.* Take  $\varepsilon = 1$  and let  $B_1, \dots, B_N$  be a cover of  $S$  by open balls of radius 1. Each  $B_n$  is bounded, so by [Exercise 2.46](#) the finite union  $B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_N$  is bounded, hence so is its subset  $S$ . □

**Exercise 2.48.** Prove that a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces is bounded if and only if  $f(X)$  is a bounded subset of  $Y$ .

*Solution.* The function  $f$  is bounded if and only if there exist  $y \in Y, M \in \mathbf{R}$  be such that

$$d_Y(y, f(x)) \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

On the other hand, this is equivalent to saying that  $f(X) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_M(y)$ , so by [Exercise 2.45](#) equivalent to  $f(X)$  being a bounded subset of  $Y$ . □

**Exercise 2.49.** Given metric spaces  $X, Y$ , prove that a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $B(X, Y)$  converges uniformly to  $f \in B(X, Y)$  if and only if  $(f_n) \rightarrow f$  with respect to the uniform metric  $d_\infty$  on  $B(X, Y)$ .

*Solution.* Suppose  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

So for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$d_\infty(f_n, f) = \sup_{x \in X} \{d_Y(f_n(x), f(x))\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon,$$

in other words  $(f_n) \rightarrow f$  w.r.t.  $d_\infty$ .

Conversely, suppose  $(f_n) \rightarrow f$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in X} \{d_Y(f_n(x), f(x))\} = d_\infty(f_n, f) < \varepsilon,$$

hence for all  $n \geq N$

$$d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

in other words  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . □

**Exercise 2.50.** Let  $f: G \rightarrow H$  be a group homomorphism between topological groups. Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $f$  is continuous;
- (b)  $f$  is continuous at some element of  $G$ ;
- (c)  $f$  is continuous at the identity element  $e_G$  of  $G$ .

*Solution.* In this proof, we will keep using the following fact: if  $U$  is a neighbourhood of some element  $g$  of  $G$ , and if  $g'$  is another element of  $G$ , then  $g'U$  is a neighbourhood of  $g'g$ . This follows from the equation  $g'U = L_{g'}(U)$  and the continuity of  $L_{g'}$  (see [Proposition 2.44](#)).

**(a)⇒(b):** This follows from [Tutorial Question 3.3](#).

**(b)⇒(c):** Suppose  $f$  is continuous at some element  $g$  of  $G$ . Since  $f$  is a group homomorphism,  $f(e_G) = e_H$ . If  $U$  is a neighbourhood of  $e_H$ , then  $f(g)U$  is a neighbourhood of  $g$ , so  $f^{-1}(f(g)U)$  is a neighbourhood of  $g$ . Since

$$x \in f^{-1}(U) \iff f(x) \in U \iff f(gx) \in f(g)U \iff gx \in f^{-1}(f(g)U),$$

it follows that  $f^{-1}(U) = g^{-1}f^{-1}(f(g)U)$ , so  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a neighbourhood of  $e_G$ .

**(c)⇒(a):** Using similar arguments as in the proof for (b)⇒(c), we can prove that continuity at  $e_G$  implies continuity at every element of  $G$ . Hence  $f$  is continuous by [Tutorial Question 3.3](#). □

**Exercise 2.51.**

- (a) Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space. Prove that every group homomorphism  $f: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow V$  is  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear.
- (b) What can you say (and prove) about **continuous** group homomorphisms  $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ?
- (c) Suppose that a group homomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous at some real number. Prove that  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}$ , and conclude that  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear.
- (d) Let  $B$  be a basis for  $\mathbf{R}$  as a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space. (Recall from [Exercise 1.4](#) that  $B$  is uncountable.) Use two distinct irrational elements of  $B$  to construct a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear transformation  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  that is not  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear.

If you would (and why wouldn't you?), follow the rabbit:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cauchy%27s\\_functional\\_equation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cauchy%27s_functional_equation)

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $v = f(1) \in V$ .

For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we have

$$f(n) = f(1 + 1 + \cdots + 1) = f(1) + \cdots + f(1) = nv.$$

For  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  we have

$$v = f(1) = f\left(\frac{1}{m} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m}\right) = mf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right),$$

so  $f(1/m) = (1/m)v$ .

Therefore, for any  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$  we have

$$f\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) = nf\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) = \frac{n}{m}v.$$

Combining this with  $f(-a) = -f(a)$  and  $f(0) = 0$ , we conclude that  $f(x) = xv = xf(1)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

- (b) Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be additive. Let  $g: \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the restriction of  $f$  to  $\mathbf{Q} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $a = g(1) = f(1)$ .

By part (b),  $g(q) = qg(1) = qa$  for all  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . As  $\mathbf{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{R}$ , there is some sequence  $(q_n) \rightarrow x$  with  $q_n \in \mathbf{Q}$ ; since  $f$  is continuous we have

$$f(x) = f\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (q_n a) = xa = xf(1).$$

Hence  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear.

- (c) It follows from [Exercise 2.50](#) that  $f$  is continuous, so by part (c)  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear.
- (d) Let  $B$  be a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbf{R}$ . Exactly one element of  $B$  is a nonzero rational, and without loss of generality we may assume it is 1. Since  $B$  is uncountable, it also contains uncountably many irrationals. Let  $b, c \in B \cap (\mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q})$ . Consider the bijective function  $\sigma: B \rightarrow B$  given by

$$\sigma(b) = c, \quad \sigma(c) = b, \quad \sigma(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in B \setminus \{b, c\}.$$

Since  $B$  is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis of  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\sigma$  extends by  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linearity to a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -linear transformation  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . In particular,  $f$  is additive.

Suppose that  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ -linear, then:

$$c = f(b) = bf(1) = b1 = b,$$

contradicting the fact that  $b \neq c$ . □

**Exercise 2.52.** If  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  are two metric spaces, a metric  $d$  on  $X \times Y$  is said to be *conserving* if

$$d_\infty((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \leq d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \leq d_1((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$$

for all  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times Y$ .

(For the definitions of  $d_1$  and  $d_\infty$ , see [Examples 2.4](#) and [2.5](#).)

Prove that any conserving metric  $d$  defines the product topology on  $X \times Y$ . (In particular, all conserving metrics on  $X \times Y$  are equivalent.)

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the product topology on  $X \times Y$  and  $\mathcal{T}_d$  the topology defined by the metric  $d$ .

We start by proving that any open rectangle  $U \times V \in \mathcal{T}$  is also open in  $\mathcal{T}_d$ , which will imply that  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_d$ . Consider an arbitrary element  $(u, v) \in U \times V$ . Since  $u$  is open in  $U$ , there exists  $s > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_s(u) \subseteq U$ . Similarly, there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_t(v) \subseteq V$ . Let  $r = \min\{s, t\} > 0$ . I claim that the  $d$ -open ball  $B := \mathbf{B}_r((u, v)) \subseteq U \times V$ . Why? If  $(x, y) \in B$  then since  $d$  is conserving,

$$\max\{d_X(x, u), d_Y(y, v)\} = d_\infty((x, y), (u, v)) \leq d((x, y), (u, v)) < r,$$

so  $d_X(x, u) < r \leq s$  hence  $x \in U$ , and  $d_Y(y, v) < r \leq t$  hence  $y \in V$ .

Now we prove that any  $d$ -open ball  $B := \mathbf{B}_r((x, y))$  is also open in the product topology  $\mathcal{T}$ , which will imply that  $\mathcal{T}_d \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ . Let  $w = (u, v) \in B$ , then there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_r(w) \subseteq B$ . Let  $U_w$  be the  $d_X$ -open ball  $\mathbf{B}_{r/2}(u) \subseteq X$ , and let  $V_w$  be the  $d_Y$ -open ball  $\mathbf{B}_{r/2}(v) \subseteq Y$ . I claim that  $U_w \times V_w \subseteq \mathbf{B}_r(w) \subseteq B$ . Why? If  $(s, t) \in U_w \times V_w$ , since  $d$  is conserving,

$$d((s, t), (u, v)) \leq d_X(s, u) + d_Y(t, v) < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r. \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.53.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $d_1, d_2$  be two metrics on  $X$ .

(a) Suppose that there exist  $m, M \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad m d_1(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq M d_1(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Show that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are equivalent.

(b) Prove that the converse of (a) does not hold.

In other words, find a set  $X$  and two equivalent metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  with the property that there **do not** exist positive real numbers  $m$  and  $M$  such that [Equation \(2.1\)](#) holds.

*Solution.*

(a) Let  $\mathcal{T}_1$  be the topology defined by  $d_1$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2$  the topology defined by  $d_2$ . We know that each topology is generated by the corresponding open balls.

Consider an open ball  $\mathbf{B}_r^{d_2}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_2$ . I claim that the open ball  $\mathbf{B}_{r/M}^{d_1}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is contained in  $\mathbf{B}_r^{d_2}(x)$ : if  $y \in \mathbf{B}_{r/M}^{d_1}(x)$  then  $d_1(x, y) < r/M$ , so that

$$d_2(x, y) \leq M d_1(x, y) < r.$$

So  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}_2$ .

Now consider an open ball  $\mathbf{B}_r^{d_1}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_1$ . I claim that the open ball  $\mathbf{B}_{rm}^{d_2}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is contained in  $\mathbf{B}_r^{d_1}(x)$ : if  $y \in \mathbf{B}_{rm}^{d_2}(x)$  then  $d_2(x, y) < rm$ , so that

$$d_1(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{m} d_2(x, y) < r.$$

So  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}_1$ , in conclusion  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$ .

- (b) Let  $X = \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $d_1$  be the discrete metric on  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $d_2$  be the induced Euclidean metric from  $\mathbf{R}$ , that is  $d_2(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

First we note that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are equivalent metrics. It suffices to show that every singleton  $\{x\} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$  is open with respect to  $d_2$ :

$$\mathbf{B}_1^{d_2}(x) = \{y \in \mathbf{Z} : |y - x| < 1\} = \{y \in \mathbf{Z} : x - 1 < y < x + 1\} = \{x\}.$$

Suppose that  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  satisfy Equation (2.1) for some  $m, M > 0$ . In particular, if  $x \neq y$  we would have

$$m \leq |x - y| \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \neq y \in \mathbf{Z},$$

which is blatantly false (take  $y = 0, x = [M] + 1$ ). □

**Exercise 2.54.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. Show that for any  $z_1, z_2 \in X \times Y$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2}d_1(z_1, z_2) \leq d_\infty(z_1, z_2) \leq d_1(z_1, z_2) \leq 2d_\infty(z_1, z_2).$$

Conclude that for any conserving metric  $d$  on  $X \times Y$ , any  $z \in X \times Y$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^{d_\infty}(z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^{d_1}(z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^d(z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^{d_\infty}(z) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{2\varepsilon}^{d_1}(z).$$

*Solution.* The inequalities involving  $d_1$  and  $d_\infty$  follow simply from

$$\frac{a+b}{2} \leq \max\{a, b\} \leq a+b \leq 2 \max\{a, b\},$$

which hold for any  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

The inclusions between open balls now follow by the same reasoning as in part (a) of Exercise 2.53. □

**Exercise 2.55.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces and  $S \subseteq X, T \subseteq Y$  totally bounded subsets. Prove that  $S \times T$  is a totally bounded subset of  $X \times Y$  (where the latter is equipped with a conserving metric  $d$ ).

*Solution.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^X(x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad T \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^Y(y_j)$$

be corresponding covers of  $S$ , respectively  $T$ .

Then

$$S \times T \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^X(x_i) \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^Y(y_j).$$

It remains to note that for any  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  we have

$$\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^X(x) \times \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^Y(y) = \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}^{d_\infty}((x, y)) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon^d((x, y)),$$

where  $\mathbf{B}^{d_\infty}$  denotes an open ball with respect to the  $d_\infty$  metric,  $\mathbf{B}^d$  denotes an open ball with respect to the  $d$  metric, and the last inclusion comes from the fact that  $d$  is conserving and [Exercise 2.54](#).  $\square$

**Exercise 2.56.** Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are metric spaces with the property that every bounded subset of either of them is totally bounded. Prove that the same is true in the product  $X \times Y$  (equipped with a conserving metric).

*Solution.* Let  $Z \subseteq X \times Y$  be bounded, then there exists  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  and  $r > 0$  such that

$$Z \subseteq \mathbf{B}_r^d((x, y)) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_r^{d_\infty}((x, y)) = \mathbf{B}_r^X(x) \times \mathbf{B}_r^Y(y).$$

Since  $\mathbf{B}_r^X(x)$  and  $\mathbf{B}_r^Y(y)$  are bounded in  $X$  and in  $Y$ , they are totally bounded. Therefore by [Exercise 2.55](#) so is their product, hence so is its subset  $Z$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.57.** Let  $K$  be a sequentially compact subset of a metric space  $X$ . Prove that any open cover of  $K$  has a Lebesgue number.

*Solution.* Take an open cover

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i.$$

Suppose that this has no Lebesgue number. This means that for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists a subset  $A_n \subseteq K$  such that  $\text{diam}(A_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $A_n \not\subseteq U_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Pick  $a_n \in A_n$  to form a sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $K$ . By assumption this has a subsequence  $(a_{n_j})$  that converges to some  $x \in K$ .

There exists  $i \in I$  such that  $x \in U_i$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq U_i$ . There exists  $J_1 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $1/n_j < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $j \geq J_1$ , so that  $A_{n_j} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(a_{n_j})$ . There exists  $J_2 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $d(a_{n_j}, x) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $j \geq J_2$ . Letting  $J = \max\{J_1, J_2\}$  we get  $A_{n_j} \subseteq \mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq U_i$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.58.** Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces and let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence in  $C_0(X, Y)$  that converges uniformly to  $f \in C_0(X, Y)$ . If  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , then  $(f_n(x_n)) \rightarrow f(x)$  in  $Y$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $d_X(x', x) < \delta$  then  $d_Y(f(x'), f(x)) < \varepsilon/2$ .

Since  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ , there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N_1$  then  $d_Y(x_n, x) < \delta$ .

Since  $(f_n) \rightarrow f$ , there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N_2$  then  $d_Y(f_n(x'), f(x')) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $x' \in X$ .

Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , then if  $n \geq N$  we have

$$d_Y(f_n(x_n), f(x)) \leq d_Y(f_n(x_n), f(x_n)) + d_Y(f(x_n), f(x)) < \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.59.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are metric spaces with  $X$  compact and  $K \subseteq C_0(X, Y)$  is compact, then  $K$  is bounded, closed, and equicontinuous.

(This is a converse to the Arzelà–Ascoli Theorem, see [Theorem 2.84](#).)

*Solution.* We know that  $K$  is bounded (since every compact subset is totally bounded,

hence bounded by [Exercise 2.47](#)) and that  $K$  is closed by [Proposition 2.35](#).

Suppose  $K$  is not equicontinuous: there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $f \in K$  and  $x, x' \in X$  with  $d_X(x, x') < \delta$  and  $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \geq \varepsilon$ .

In particular, we can take  $\delta = 1/n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and obtain a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $K$  and two equivalent sequences  $(x_n) \sim (x'_n)$  in  $X$  such that

$$d_Y(f_n(x_n), f_n(x'_n)) \geq \varepsilon.$$

But  $K$  is compact so  $(f_n)$  has a subsequence  $(f_{n_k}) \rightarrow f \in K$ .

The corresponding subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$ , which is compact, so itself has a subsequence  $(x_{n_{k_j}}) \rightarrow x \in X$ . Since  $(x'_n) \sim (x_n)$ , we also have  $(x'_{n_{k_j}}) \rightarrow x$ .

Now [Exercise 2.58](#) tells us that  $(f_{n_{k_j}}(x_{n_{k_j}}))$  and  $(f_{n_{k_j}}(x'_{n_{k_j}}))$  both converge to  $f(x)$ , contradicting the fact that their terms stay at least  $\varepsilon$  apart.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.60.** Let  $\mathbf{S}^1 = \mathbf{S}_1((0, 0)) = \{x, y \in \mathbf{R} : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$  be the unit circle in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Consider the function  $f: [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$  given by the parametrisation

$$f(t) = (\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t)).$$

Endow  $[0, 1)$  with the induced metric from  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{S}^1$  with the induced metric from  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Prove that  $f$  is a bijective continuous function, but not a homeomorphism.

(You may use without proof whatever properties of the functions  $\sin$  and  $\cos$  you manage to remember from previous subjects.)

*Solution.*

- (a) We know that  $t \mapsto 2\pi t$ ,  $t \mapsto \cos(t)$  and  $t \mapsto \sin(t)$  are continuous, so by [Tutorial Question 3.7](#) so is  $f$ .
- (b) Suppose  $t_1 \neq t_2 \in [0, 1)$  are such that  $f(t_1) = f(t_2)$ . Then  $\cos(2\pi t_1) = \cos(2\pi t_2)$ , which implies that  $t_2 = 1 - t_1$ . In that case  $\sin(2\pi t_2) = \sin(2\pi - 2\pi t_1) = \sin(-2\pi t_1) = -\sin(2\pi t_1)$ . But we also have  $\sin(2\pi t_2) = \sin(2\pi t_1)$ , so  $\sin(2\pi t_1) = 0$ , hence  $t_1 = 0$  and  $t_2 = 1 - t_1 = 1$ , contradicting  $t_2 \in [0, 1)$ .

We conclude that  $f$  is injective.

For surjectivity, let  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{S}^1$ , in other words  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . Define  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  by

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \arccos(x) & \text{if } y \geq 0 \\ 2\pi - \arccos(x) & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

Letting  $t = \theta/(2\pi)$ , we have  $f(t) = (x, y)$ .

- (c) At this point we know that  $f$  is a homeomorphism iff  $f^{-1}: \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is continuous. Note that  $\mathbf{S}^1 \subseteq \mathbf{R}^2$  is compact: it is clearly bounded as any two points are at distance at most 2 of each other, so we just need to check that it is a closed subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

But  $\mathbf{S}^1 = \mathbf{D}_1((0, 0)) \cap C$  is the intersection of two closed sets, where

$$C = \{x, y \in \mathbf{R} : x^2 + y^2 \geq 1\} = \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \mathbf{B}_1((0, 0)).$$



Since  $\mathbf{S}^1$  is compact, if  $f^{-1}$  were continuous then  $[0, 1) = f^{-1}(\mathbf{S}^1)$  would be compact, hence closed in  $\mathbf{R}$ . This is a contradiction, because 1 is an accumulation point of  $[0, 1)$  but does not lie in the set.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.61.** Prove that any Cauchy sequence  $(x_n)$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is *bounded*, that is there exists  $C \geq 0$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) \leq C$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

*Solution.* Let  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  be such that for all  $m, n \geq N$  we have  $d(x_m, x_n) < 1$ .

Let  $B = \max\{d(x_m, x_N) : 1 \leq m < N\}$ . Let  $C = 2B + 1$ , then we have

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \begin{cases} 1 \leq C & \text{if } m, n \geq N \\ d(x_m, x_N) + d(x_N, x_n) \leq B + 1 \leq C & \text{if } m < N, n \geq N \\ d(x_m, x_N) + d(x_N, x_n) \leq 2B \leq C & \text{if } m, n < N. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.62.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and define  $x \sim x'$  if there exists a connected subset  $C \subset X$  such that  $x, x' \in C$ .

Prove that this is an equivalence relation on the set  $X$ , thereby partitioning  $X$  into a disjoint union of maximal connected subsets (these are called the *connected components* of  $X$ ).

[*Hint:* Recall that an equivalence relation has three defining axioms: (a)  $x \sim x$  for all  $x \in X$ ; (b) if  $x \sim x'$  then  $x' \sim x$ ; (c) if  $x \sim x'$  and  $x' \sim x''$  then  $x \sim x''$ .]

*Solution.*

- (a)  $x \sim x$ : for any  $x \in X$ , the set  $C = \{x\}$  is connected and contains  $x$ , so  $x \sim x$ .
- (b) if  $x \sim x'$  then  $x' \sim x$ : clear from the definition, which does not distinguish  $x$  and  $x'$ .
- (c) if  $x \sim x'$  and  $x' \sim x''$  then  $x \sim x''$ : since  $x \sim x'$  there exists a connected set  $C_1$  such that  $x, x' \in C_1$ ; since  $x' \sim x''$  there exists a connected set  $C_2$  such that  $x', x'' \in C_2$ ; by [Tutorial Question 4.2](#), since  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are connected and  $x' \in C_1 \cap C_2$ , the union  $C_1 \cup C_2$  is connected, and it contains both  $x$  and  $x''$ , so that  $x \sim x''$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.63.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.

If  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded sets with  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\text{diam}(A \cup B) \leq \text{diam}(A) + \text{diam}(B).$$

*Solution.* It suffices to show that for any  $x, y \in A \cup B$  we have

$$d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(A) + \text{diam}(B).$$

If  $x, y \in A$ , this is obvious as  $d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(A)$ . Similarly if  $x, y \in B$ .

It remains to see what happens if  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Let  $t \in A \cap B$ . We have

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, t) + d(t, y) \leq \text{diam}(A) + \text{diam}(B),$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.64.** Consider the equation

$$(2.2) \quad x^3 - x - 1 = 0.$$

- (a) Show that the equation must have **at least one solution** in the interval  $[1, 2]$ .  
 (b) Show that the function  $f: [1, 2] \rightarrow [1, 2]$  given by

$$f(x) = (1 + x)^{1/3}$$

is a contraction.

- (c) Show that [Equation \(2.2\)](#) has **a unique solution**  $\xi$  in the interval  $[1, 2]$  and describe a sequence of real numbers that converges to  $\xi$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) We can use the Intermediate Value Theorem: at  $x = 1$ ,  $x^3 - x - 1 = -1 < 0$ , while at  $x = 2$ ,  $x^3 - x - 1 = 5 > 0$ , so there must be at least one point  $x$  in  $[1, 2]$  such that  $x^3 - x - 1 = 0$ .  
 (b) The derivative of  $f$  is

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{3} (1 + x)^{-2/3} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{2/3}}.$$

As  $x \in [1, 2]$ , we have  $f'(x) > 0$  and

$$1 \leq x \Rightarrow 2 \leq 1 + x \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + x} \leq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{2/3}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2/3}} \leq 1,$$

so that

$$f'(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Now let  $x, y$  be such that  $1 \leq x < y \leq 2$  and apply the Mean Value Theorem to  $f$  on  $[x, y]$  to deduce that there exists  $c \in (x, y)$  such that

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = f'(c) \Rightarrow |f(y) - f(x)| = |f'(c)| |y - x| \leq \frac{1}{3} |y - x|.$$

We conclude that  $f$  is a contraction.

- (c) Observe that  $x^3 - x - 1 = 0$  is equivalent to  $f(x) = x$ , so the solutions of [Equation \(2.2\)](#) are precisely the fixed points of  $f$ . As  $f$  is a contraction and  $[1, 2]$  is complete, the Banach Fixed Point Theorem says that there is a unique fixed point  $\xi$  in  $[1, 2]$ . It also tells us that we can start with any  $x_1 \in [1, 2]$ , for instance  $x_1 = 1$ , and iteratively apply  $f$  to get a sequence  $(x_n)$  converging to  $\xi$ :

$$x_1 = 1, \quad x_2 = f(x_1) = 2^{1/3}, \quad x_3 = f(x_2) = (1 + 2^{1/3})^{1/3}, \dots \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.65.** Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a contraction and define  $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$F(x) = x + f(x).$$

- (a) Use the Banach Fixed Point Theorem to show that the equation  $x + f(x) = a$  has a unique solution for any  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ .
- (b) Deduce that  $F$  is a bijection.
- (c) Show that  $F$  is continuous.
- (d) Show that  $F^{-1}$  is continuous (so it is a homeomorphism).

*Solution.*

- (a) Given  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $f_a: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be given by

$$f_a(x) = a - f(x).$$

Note that  $f_a$  is a contraction:

$$|f_a(x) - f_a(y)| = |a - f(x) - a + f(y)| = |f(y) - f(x)| \leq c|x - y| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Next note that  $F(x) = a$  if and only if  $a = x + f(x)$  if and only if  $x = f_a(x)$  if and only if  $x$  is a fixed point of  $f_a$ .

By the Banach Fixed Point Theorem,  $f_a$  has a unique fixed point; therefore  $F(x) = a$  has a unique solution.

- (b)  $F(x) = a$  having a unique solution for every  $a \in \mathbf{R}$  is saying precisely that  $F: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is bijective.
- (c) If  $c = 0$  then  $f$  is a constant function  $f(x) = b$  so  $F(x) = x + b$ , clearly continuous with continuous inverse  $F^{-1}(x) = x - b$ .

So we may assume  $c > 0$  (also in part (d)).

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \varepsilon/c$ , then if  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < c\delta = c \frac{\varepsilon}{c} = \varepsilon.$$

We conclude that  $f$  is (uniformly) continuous, so  $F$  is continuous, being the sum of the continuous functions  $x \mapsto x$  and  $x \mapsto f(x)$ .

- (d) The Banach Fixed Point Theorem tells us that the unique fixed point of  $f_a$  is the limit of the iterates of  $f_a$  evaluated at any starting point in  $\mathbf{R}$ , for instance at 0:

$$F^{-1}(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_a^{\circ n}(0)).$$

Let  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ . I claim that for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad |f_a^{\circ n}(0) - f_b^{\circ n}(0)| \leq (1 + c + \cdots + c^{n-1})|a - b|.$$

We prove this by induction on  $n$ . The base case is  $n = 1$ , where we have

$$|f_a(0) - f_b(0)| = |a - f(0) - b + f(0)| = |a - b|.$$

Fix  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and assume that the inequality (2.3) holds for  $n$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_a^{o(n+1)}(0) - f_b^{o(n+1)}(0)| &= |a - f(f_a^{o n}(0)) - b + f(f_b^{o n}(0))| \\ &\leq |a - b| + c(1 + c + \cdots + c^{n-1})|a - b| \\ &= (1 + c + \cdots + c^n)|a - b|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second to last step we used the contractive property of  $f$  and the inequality (2.3) for  $n$ .

Finally, we have

$$|F^{-1}(a) - F^{-1}(b)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_a^{o n}(0) - f_b^{o n}(0)| \leq \frac{1}{1-c} |a - b|.$$

So for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can take  $\delta < (1 - c)\varepsilon$  and deduce that  $F^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.66.** Let  $X$  be the interval  $(0, 1/3)$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  with the Euclidean metric. Show that  $f: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $f(x) = x^2$  is a contraction, but does not have a fixed point in  $X$ . Why does this not contradict the Banach Fixed Point Theorem?

*Solution.* First we check that  $f$  does take values in  $X$ : if  $x \in (0, 1/3)$  then  $0 < x < 1/3$  so  $0 < x^2 < 1/9 < 1/3$ .

Next we note that  $f(x) = x^2$  is differentiable with continuous derivative on  $(0, 1/3)$  so the Mean Value Theorem applies on any subinterval  $(x, y) \subseteq (0, 1/3)$ :

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |f'(\xi)||x - y| \quad \text{for some } \xi \in (x, y) \subseteq (0, 1/3).$$

Of course  $f'(\xi) = 2\xi$  so if  $\xi \in (0, 1/3)$  then  $f'(\xi) \in (0, 2/3)$ , proving that  $f$  is a contraction with constant (at most)  $2/3$ .

What are the fixed points of  $f$ ? They satisfy  $x = f(x) = x^2$ , so  $x = 0$  or  $x = 1$ , but neither of these is in  $X = (0, 1/3)$ .

The Banach Fixed Point Theorem is not contradicted: one of the assumptions is that  $X$  is complete, but  $(0, 1/3) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is not complete since it is not closed in the complete metric space  $\mathbf{R}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.67.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be a function. Let  $g = f \circ f$ , that is,  $g(x) = f(f(x))$ . Suppose that  $g: X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction. Prove that  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

*Solution.* By the Banach Fixed Point Theorem,  $g$  has a unique fixed point  $x_0 \in X$ . I claim that  $x_0$  is also the unique fixed point of  $f$ . For uniqueness, note that if  $f(x) = x$  then  $g(x) = f(f(x)) = f(x) = x$  so  $x$  is a fixed point of  $g$ , hence  $x = x_0$ . To show that  $f(x_0) = x_0$ , note that  $f(x_0) = f(g(x_0)) = g(f(x_0))$ , so  $f(x_0)$  is a fixed point of  $g$ , hence  $f(x_0) = x_0$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.68.** Prove that no two of the following spaces are homeomorphic:

- (a) the interval  $X = [-1, 1]$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ;
- (b) the open unit disc  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ;
- (c) the closed unit disc  $Z$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

*Solution.*  $Y$  is not compact since it is not closed in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , for instance the point  $(0, 1)$  is in the closure of  $Y$  but not in  $Y$ . On the other hand,  $Z$  is compact since it is closed and bounded in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Similarly,  $X$  is compact.

So  $Y$  and  $Z$  are not homeomorphic, and  $X$  and  $Y$  are not homeomorphic.

Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Z$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $x \in X^\circ$ , then  $f(x) \in Z^\circ$ . The restriction of  $f$  to  $X \setminus \{x\} \rightarrow Z \setminus \{f(x)\}$  is then also a homeomorphism, but this is impossible since  $X \setminus \{x\} = [-1, x) \cup (x, 1]$  is disconnected, while  $Z \setminus \{f(x)\}$  is connected.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.69.** Are the following pairs of spaces homeomorphic or not?

- (a) the unit circle in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ;
- (b) the intervals  $[0, 1]$  and  $(0, 1)$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ ;
- (c) the intervals  $[0, 1]$  and  $[0, 2]$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) No: removing an interior point of  $[0, 1]$  gives a disconnected set, but removing any point from the unit circle gives a set that is connected.
- (b) No:  $[0, 1]$  is compact, being closed and bounded in  $\mathbf{R}$ , while  $(0, 1)$  is not compact, since it is not closed in  $\mathbf{R}$ .
- (c) Yes:  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$  given by  $f(x) = 2x$  is clearly a homeomorphism.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.70.** Which of the following metric spaces are compact?

- (a) The unit circle in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .
- (b) The unit open disk in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .
- (c) The closed unit ball in the space  $\ell^\infty$  of bounded real sequences  $(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) Compact: closed and bounded in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .
- (b) Not compact: not closed, since  $(1, 0)$  is in the closure of the open disk but not in the open disk itself.
- (c) Not compact: the sequence  $(e_n)$  of standard vectors has no convergent subsequence, since  $d(e_n, e_m) = 1$  whenever  $n \neq m$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.71.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Prove that there exist points  $a, b \in C$  such that

$$d(a, b) = \sup \{d(x, y) : x, y \in C\}.$$

In other words, the diameter of  $C$  is realised as the distance between two points of  $C$ .

*Solution.* As you know from [Tutorial Question 6.7](#), the distance function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous. By [Theorem 2.39](#),  $C \times C$  is compact, so by [Proposition 2.70](#) there exists  $(a_{\max}, b_{\max}) \in C \times C$  such that

$$d(a, b) \leq d(a_{\max}, b_{\max}) \quad \text{for all } (a, b) \in C \times C.$$

Therefore  $a_{\max}, b_{\max} \in C$  realise the diameter of  $C$ . □

**Exercise 2.72.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $S \subseteq X$  be a nonempty subset. Define  $d_S: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  by

$$d_S(x) = \inf_{s \in S} d(x, s).$$

(a) Prove that  $d_S$  is uniformly continuous.

[Hint: Show that  $|d_S(x) - d_S(y)| \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .]

(b) Prove that  $d_S(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in \overline{S}$ .

(c) Prove that if  $U \subseteq X$  is an open neighbourhood of  $x$ , then  $d_{X \setminus U}(x) > 0$ .

*Solution.*

(a) We start with the hint. Let  $x, y \in X$ . For all  $s \in S$  we have

$$d_S(x) \leq d(x, s) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, s),$$

hence

$$d_S(x) \leq d(x, y) + d_S(y).$$

We can swap the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  to get

$$d_S(y) \leq d(y, x) + d_S(x),$$

and the two inequalities together give

$$|d_S(x) - d_S(y)| \leq d(x, y).$$

Uniform continuity is now clear: for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we take  $\delta = \varepsilon$  and use the above inequality.

(b) If  $d_S(x) = 0$  then  $\inf d(x, s) = 0$  so for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $d(x, s) < \varepsilon$ . In particular, for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we can set  $\varepsilon = 1/n$  and get  $s_n \in S$  such that  $d(x, s_n) < 1/n$ . This gives us a sequence  $(s_n)$  in  $S$  that converges to  $x$ , so  $x \in \overline{S}$ .

Conversely, if  $x \in \overline{S}$  then there exists a sequence  $(s_n)$  in  $S$  that converges to  $x$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $d(x, s_N) < \varepsilon$ , therefore  $\inf d(x, s) = 0$ .

- (c) If  $d_{X \setminus U}(x) = 0$  then by part (b) we have  $x \in \overline{X \setminus U} = X \setminus U$ , the latter equality due to  $U$  being open. But then  $x \in U \cap (X \setminus U)$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.73.** Give an example of a metric space  $X$  and an open ball  $\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x)$  such that

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_\varepsilon(x)} \neq \mathbf{D}_\varepsilon(x).$$

*Solution.* Take  $X = \{0, 1\}$  with the discrete metric,  $x = 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Then

$$\overline{\mathbf{B}_1(0)} = \overline{\{0\}} = \{0\} \neq \{0, 1\} = \mathbf{D}_1(0). \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.74.**

- (a) Suppose  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a continuous function and  $S$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Prove that  $f(S)$  is bounded.
- (b) Find a uniformly continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces and a bounded subset  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $f(B)$  is unbounded.

*Solution.*

- (a) Let  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a continuous function and let  $B$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . It follows from [Exercise 2.45](#) that  $B$  is contained in some closed ball  $\mathbf{D}_r(v)$ , which is compact by part (b) of [Tutorial Question 7.6](#). Hence  $f(\mathbf{D}_r(v))$  is compact by [Proposition 2.37](#), and therefore bounded by part (c) of [Tutorial Question 7.6](#). Since  $f(B) \subseteq f(\mathbf{D}_r(v))$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f(B)) &= \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in f(B)\} \\ &\leq \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbf{D}_r(v)\} \\ &= \text{diam}(\mathbf{D}_r(v)) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(B)$  is bounded.

- (b) Let  $X = (\mathbf{N}, d_1)$  and  $Y = (\mathbf{N}, d_2)$ , where  $d_1$  is the discrete metric on  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $d_2$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbf{N}$ .

We claim that the identity function  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{N}}: X \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous. Indeed, for every positive real number  $\epsilon$ , put  $\delta = 1$ . If  $d_1(x, y) < 1$ , then  $x = y$ , and therefore  $d_2(\text{id}_X(x), \text{id}_X(y)) = 0 < \epsilon$ .

Since  $\mathbf{B}_2^{d_1}(0) = \mathbf{N}$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{N}$  is bounded in  $X$ . However,  $\text{id}_{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathbf{N}$  is not bounded because

$$\text{diam}_{d_2}(\mathbf{N}) = \sup\{d_2(m, n) : m, n \in \mathbf{N}\} = \sup \mathbf{Z} = \infty. \quad \square$$

**Exercise 2.75.**

- (a) Suppose  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a continuous function and  $S$  is a totally bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Prove that  $f(S)$  is totally bounded.

- (b) Find a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between metric spaces and a totally bounded subset  $S$  of  $X$  such that  $f(S)$  is not totally bounded.

*Solution.*

- (a) The subset  $S$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is bounded because of [Exercise 2.47](#), and therefore  $f(S)$  is bounded by part (a) of [Exercise 2.74](#). It then follows from part (d) of [Tutorial Question 7.6](#) that  $f(S)$  is totally bounded.
- (b) Let  $X = (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ ,  $Y = \mathbf{R}$ , and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be the continuous function defined by  $f(x) = \tan(x)$ . The domain  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  is bounded because its diameter is  $\pi$ , but its image is the unbounded set  $\mathbf{R}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.76.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function between metric spaces.

- (a) Prove that  $f$  is a contraction if and only if  $\text{diam}(f(S)) < \text{diam}(S)$  for every subset  $S$  of  $X$ .
- (b) Suppose  $f$  is a contraction and  $B$  is a bounded subset of  $X$ . Prove that  $f(B)$  is bounded.

*Solution.*

- (a) If  $f$  is a contraction and  $S$  is a subset of  $X$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam}(f(S)) &= \sup\{d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) : x_1, x_2 \in S\} \\ &< \sup\{d_X(x_1, x_2) : x_1, x_2 \in S\} \\ &= \text{diam}(S) \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose  $\text{diam}(f(S)) < \text{diam}(S)$  for every subset  $S$  of  $X$ . If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are elements of  $X$ , then

$$d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) = \text{diam}(\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}) < \text{diam}(\{x_1, x_2\}) = d_X(x_1, x_2).$$

Hence  $f$  is a contraction.

- (b) It follows from part (a) that

$$\text{diam}(f(B)) < \text{diam}(B) < \infty.$$

Hence  $f(B)$  is bounded.  $\square$



### 3. NORMED AND HILBERT SPACES

**Exercise 3.1.** Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed vector space. Prove that the norm function  $\|\cdot\|: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  is uniformly continuous.

*Solution.* Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . I claim that if  $d_V(v, w) < \varepsilon$  then

$$d_{\mathbf{R}}(\|v\|, \|w\|) = \left| \|v\| - \|w\| \right| < \varepsilon.$$

To prove this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &= \|v - w + w\| \leq \|v - w\| + \|w\| \Rightarrow \|v\| - \|w\| \leq \|v - w\| \\ \|w\| &= \|v + w - v\| \leq \|v\| + \|w - v\| \Rightarrow -\|v - w\| \leq \|v\| - \|w\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$d_{\mathbf{R}}(\|v\|, \|w\|) = \left| \|v\| - \|w\| \right| \leq \|v - w\| = d_V(v, w),$$

and the rest follows. □

**Exercise 3.2.** If  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  are equivalent norms on  $V$ , then the corresponding metrics  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  (as in [Proposition 3.1](#)) are equivalent.

*Solution.* By [Proposition 2.21](#) we know that  $d_2$  is coarser than  $d_1$  if and only if the function  $(V, d_1) \rightarrow (V, d_2)$  given by  $v \mapsto v$  is continuous. By [Theorem 2.52](#), this in turn is equivalent to showing that for every  $v \in V$ , every sequence that converges to  $v$  in  $(V, d_1)$  also converges to  $v$  in  $(V, d_2)$ .

So let  $(v_n)$  be a sequence that converges to  $v$  in  $(V, d_1)$ , that is  $(d_1(v_n, v)) \rightarrow 0$ , so  $(\|v_n - v\|_1) \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $(m\|v_n - v\|_1) \rightarrow 0$  and  $(M\|v_n - v\|_1) \rightarrow 0$ . Since by assumption

$$m\|v_n - v\|_1 \leq \|v_n - v\|_2 \leq M\|v_n - v\|_1,$$

this implies by the Sandwich Theorem that  $(\|v_n - v\|_2) \rightarrow 0$ , in other words that  $(v_n) \rightarrow v$  in  $(V, d_2)$ .

The fact that  $d_1$  is coarser than  $d_2$  follows because

$$\frac{1}{M} \|v\|_2 \leq \|v\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{m} \|v\|_2 \quad \text{for all } v \in V,$$

so we can interchange the roles of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  in the previous argument. □

**Exercise 3.3.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}$ . Show that the intersection of an arbitrary collection of convex subsets of  $V$  is convex.

*Solution.* Suppose  $I$  is an arbitrary set and  $S_i$  is a convex subset of  $V$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let

$$S = \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$$

and let  $v, w \in S$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $a + b = 1$ . Then for all  $i \in I$  we have  $v, w \in S_i$ , so that  $av + bw \in S_i$  since  $S_i$  is convex. Therefore  $av + bw \in S$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.4.** Prove that, if  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space, then  $f: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  given by  $f(v) = \|v\|$  is a convex function.

*Solution.* Suppose  $v, w \in S$  and  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $a + b = 1$ . Then

$$f(av + bw) = \|av + bw\| \leq \|av\| + \|bw\| = |a|\|v\| + |b|\|w\| = a\|v\| + b\|w\| = af(v) + bf(w). \quad \square$$

**Exercise 3.5.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be an interval and let  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a twice-differentiable function.

The aim of this Exercise is to check the familiar calculus fact:  $f$  is convex if and only if  $f''(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

It was heavily inspired by Alexander Nagel's Wisconsin notes [1]:

<https://people.math.wisc.edu/~ajnagel/convexity.pdf>

(a) For any  $s, t \in I$  with  $s < t$ , define the linear function  $L_{s,t}: [s, t] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$L_{s,t}(x) = f(s) + \left(\frac{x-s}{t-s}\right)(f(t) - f(s)).$$

Convince yourself that this is the equation of the secant line joining  $(s, f(s))$  to  $(t, f(t))$ .

Prove that  $f$  is convex on  $I$  if and only if

$$f(x) \leq L_{s,t}(x) \quad \text{for all } s, t \in I \text{ such that } s < t \text{ and all } s \leq x \leq t.$$

(b) Check that for all  $s, t \in I$  such that  $s < t$  we have

$$L_{s,t}(x) - f(x) = \frac{x-s}{t-s}(f(t) - f(x)) - \frac{t-x}{t-s}(f(x) - f(s)).$$

(c) Use the Mean Value Theorem for  $f$  twice to prove that there exist  $\xi, \zeta$  with  $x < \xi < t$  and  $s < \zeta < x$  such that

$$L_{s,t}(x) - f(x) = \frac{(t-x)(x-s)}{t-s}(f'(\xi) - f'(\zeta)).$$

(d) Use the Mean Value Theorem once more to conclude that if  $f''(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $f$  is convex on  $I$ .

(e) Now we prove the converse. From this point on, assume that  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is twice-differentiable and convex, and let  $s, t \in I^\circ$ .

1. Show that if  $s < x < t$  then

$$\frac{f(x) - f(s)}{x - s} \leq \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}.$$

2. Conclude that if  $s < x_1 < x_2 < t$  then

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(s)}{x_1 - s} \leq \frac{f(t) - f(x_2)}{t - x_2}.$$

3. Conclude that if  $s < t$  then  $f'(s) \leq f'(t)$ , and finally that  $f''(x) \geq 0$  on  $I$ .

*Solution.* Parts (b)–(d) are pretty thoroughly discussed in the above reference if you need more guidance, so I'll just do parts (a) and (e).

(a) In the definition of convex function, take  $v = s$ ,  $w = t$ ,  $a = (t - x)/(t - s)$ ,  $b = (x - s)/(t - s)$ , so that  $av + bw = x$ . Then we know that

$$f(x) \leq \frac{t - x}{t - s} f(s) + \frac{x - s}{t - s} f(t) = f(s) + \frac{x - s}{t - s} (f(t) - f(s)) = L_{s,t}(x).$$

The other direction is straightforward.

(e) 1. From part (a) we have

$$\frac{f(x) - f(s)}{x - s} \leq \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}.$$

Cross-multiplying, we end up with

$$x(f(t) - f(s)) - s(f(t) - f(x)) - t(f(x) - f(s)) \geq 0,$$

which is also equivalent to the inequality we are trying to prove.

2. Apply the previous part twice, first with  $s < x_1 < x_2$  and then with  $x_1 < x_2 < t$ , to get

$$\frac{f(x_1) - f(s)}{x_1 - s} \leq \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \leq \frac{f(t) - f(x_2)}{t - x_2}.$$

3. Following from the previous part, we have

$$f'(s) = \lim_{x_1 \searrow s} \frac{f(x_1) - f(s)}{x_1 - s} \leq \lim_{x_2 \nearrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(x_2)}{t - x_2} = f'(t).$$

This implies that  $f'$  is an increasing function on  $I^\circ$ , therefore  $f''(x) \geq 0$  on  $I^\circ$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.6.** Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and take  $r, s > 0$ ,  $u, v \in V$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}^\times$ . Show that

(a)  $\mathbf{B}_r(u + v) = \mathbf{B}_r(u) + \{v\}$ ;

(b)  $\alpha \mathbf{B}_1(0) = \mathbf{B}_{|\alpha|}(0)$ ;

- (c)  $\mathbf{B}_r(v) = r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + \{v\}$ ;
- (d)  $r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + s\mathbf{B}_1(0) = (r + s)\mathbf{B}_1(0)$ ;
- (e)  $\mathbf{B}_r(u) + \mathbf{B}_s(v) = \mathbf{B}_{r+s}(u + v)$ ;
- (f)  $\mathbf{B}_1(0)$  is a convex subset of  $V$ ;
- (g) any open ball in  $V$  is convex.

*Solution.*

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} w \in \mathbf{B}_r(u + v) &\iff \|(u + v) - w\| < r \\ &\iff \|u - (w - v)\| < r \\ &\iff w - v \in \mathbf{B}_r(u) \\ &\iff w \in \mathbf{B}_r(u) + \{v\}. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} w \in \alpha\mathbf{B}_1(0) &\iff \frac{1}{\alpha} w \in \mathbf{B}_1(0) \\ &\iff \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha} w \right\| < 1 \\ &\iff \|w\| < |\alpha| \\ &\iff w \in \mathbf{B}_{|\alpha|}(0). \end{aligned}$$

(c) From (a) and (b):

$$\mathbf{B}_r(v) = \mathbf{B}_r(0) + \{v\} = r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + \{v\}.$$

(d) If  $\|u\| < r$  and  $\|v\| < s$  then  $\|u + v\| < r + s$ , so  $r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + s\mathbf{B}_1(0) \subseteq (r + s)\mathbf{B}_1(0)$ .

Conversely, if  $\|w\| < r + s$ , then

$$w = \frac{r}{r + s} w + \frac{s}{r + s} w \in r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + s\mathbf{B}_1(0).$$

(e) From (c) and (d):

$$\mathbf{B}_r(u) + \mathbf{B}_s(v) = r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + s\mathbf{B}_1(0) + \{u\} + \{v\} = (r + s)\mathbf{B}_1(0) + \{u + v\} = \mathbf{B}_{r+s}(u + v).$$

(f) If  $u, v \in \mathbf{B}_1(0)$  and  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ , then by (d)

$$au + (1 - a)v \in a\mathbf{B}_1(0) + (1 - a)\mathbf{B}_1(0) = (a + 1 - a)\mathbf{B}_1(0) = \mathbf{B}_1(0).$$

(g)  $\mathbf{B}_r(u) = r\mathbf{B}_1(0) + \{u\}$  is the translate of a convex set, hence is itself convex.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.7.** Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and let  $S, T$  be subsets of  $V$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}$ . Prove that

- (a) If  $S$  and  $T$  are bounded, so are  $S + T$  and  $\alpha S$ .
- (b) If  $S$  and  $T$  are totally bounded, so are  $S + T$  and  $\alpha S$ .
- (c) If  $S$  and  $T$  are compact, so are  $S + T$  and  $\alpha S$ .

*Solution.*

- (a) A subset  $S$  of  $V$  is bounded if and only if  $S \subseteq \mathbf{B}_s(0) = s\mathbf{B}_1(0)$  for some  $s \geq 0$ . So  $S \subseteq s\mathbf{B}_1(0)$  and  $T \subseteq t\mathbf{B}_1(0)$ , hence  $S + T \subseteq s\mathbf{B}_1(0) + t\mathbf{B}_1(0) = (s + t)\mathbf{B}_1(0)$ . Similarly  $\alpha S \subseteq s\alpha\mathbf{B}_1(0) = s\mathbf{B}_{|\alpha|}(0) = (s|\alpha|)\mathbf{B}_1(0)$ .
- (b) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $S$  and  $T$  are totally bounded, they can each be covered by finitely many open balls of radius  $\varepsilon/2$ :

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(s_n)$$

$$T \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^M \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(t_m),$$

but then

$$S + T \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(s_n) + \bigcup_{m=1}^M \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(t_m) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{m=1}^M (\mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(s_n) + \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/2}(t_m)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \bigcup_{m=1}^M \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}(s_n + t_m).$$

For  $\alpha S$ , note that  $S$  can be covered by finitely many open balls of radius  $\varepsilon/|\alpha|$ :

$$S \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/|\alpha|}(s_n),$$

so that

$$\alpha S \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N \alpha \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon/|\alpha|}(s_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^N \mathbf{B}_{\varepsilon}(s_n).$$

- (c) Consider the addition map  $a: V \times V \rightarrow V$ ,  $a(v, w) = v + w$ . We know that it is continuous, so its restriction

$$a|_{S \times T}: S \times T \rightarrow V, \quad a(s, t) = s + t$$

is also continuous, and its image is  $S + T$ . Since  $S$  and  $T$  are compact, so is  $S \times T$ , and so is  $S + T = a(S \times T)$ .

The same argument with scalar multiplication gives compactness of  $\alpha S$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.8.** Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq q$ . Prove that

$$\ell^p \subseteq \ell^q.$$

Show that if  $p < q$  then the inclusion is strict:  $\ell^p \subsetneq \ell^q$ .

*Solution.* We prove that

$$\|x\|_{\ell^q} \leq \|x\|_{\ell^p} \quad \text{for all } x \in \ell^p.$$

If  $\|x\|_{\ell^p} = 0$  then  $x = 0$  so  $\|x\|_{\ell^q} = 0$  and the inequality obviously holds. So suppose  $x \neq 0$ , then by dividing through by  $\|x\|_{\ell^p}$  we can reduce to proving that

$$\|x\|_{\ell^q} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } x \text{ such that } \|x\|_{\ell^p} = 1.$$

But if  $\|x\|_{\ell^p} = 1$  then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p = 1,$$

which means that for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  we have  $|x_n|^p \leq 1$ , so  $|x_n| \leq 1$ . However,  $p \leq q$  and  $|x_n| \leq 1$  implies that  $|x_n|^q \leq |x_n|^p$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , so that

$$\|x\|_{\ell^q}^q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^q \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p = 1.$$

If  $p < q$  then let  $\alpha := q/p > 1$ . For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}},$$

so that

$$|x_n|^p = \frac{1}{n}, \quad |x_n|^q = \frac{1}{n^\alpha}.$$

We have

$$\|(x_n)\|_{\ell^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty, \quad \|(x_n)\|_{\ell^q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} < \infty,$$

so  $(x_n) \in \ell^q \setminus \ell^p$ . □

# A. APPENDIX

**Exercise A.1.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}$ . Prove that  $\text{End}(V) := \text{Hom}(V, V)$  is an associative unital  $\mathbf{F}$ -algebra under composition of functions.

*Solution.* TODO □

**Exercise A.2.** Let  $V, W$  be vector spaces over  $\mathbf{F}$  and let  $B$  be a basis of  $V$ . Suppose  $g: B \rightarrow W$  is a function, and let  $f: V \rightarrow W$  be its extension to  $V$  by linearity.

Prove that

- (a)  $f$  is injective if and only if  $g(B)$  is linearly independent in  $W$ ;
- (b)  $f$  is surjective if and only if  $g(B)$  spans  $W$ ;
- (c)  $f$  is bijective if and only if  $g(B)$  is a basis for  $W$ .

*Solution.* TODO □

**Exercise A.3.** If  $S$  and  $T$  are subspaces of a vector space  $V$  with field of scalars  $\mathbf{F}$ , then so are  $S + T$  and  $\alpha S$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}$ .

*Solution.* TODO □

**Exercise A.4.** Let  $V = \mathbf{F}[x]$  be the vector space of polynomials in one variable with coefficients in  $\mathbf{F}$ . Given a scalar  $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}$ , consider the function  $\text{ev}_\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$  given by evaluation at  $\alpha$ :

$$\text{ev}_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha).$$

Prove that  $\text{ev}_\alpha \in V^\vee$ .

*Solution.* We have to prove that  $\text{ev}_\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$  is linear.

If  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{F}[x]$ , then

$$\text{ev}_\alpha(f_1 + f_2) = (f_1 + f_2)(\alpha) = f_1(\alpha) + f_2(\alpha) = \text{ev}_\alpha(f_1) + \text{ev}_\alpha(f_2).$$

If  $f \in \mathbf{F}[x]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ , then

$$\text{ev}_\alpha(\lambda f) = (\lambda f)(\alpha) = \lambda f(\alpha) = \lambda \text{ev}_\alpha(f). \quad \square$$

**Exercise A.5.** In the setup of [Proposition A.4](#), suppose  $W = V$  so that  $T: V \rightarrow V$  and  $T^\vee: V^\vee \rightarrow V^\vee$ .

Let  $M$  be the matrix representation of  $T$  with respect to an ordered basis  $B$  of  $V$ , and let  $M^\vee$  be the matrix representation of  $T^\vee$  with respect to the dual basis  $B^\vee$ .

Express  $M^\vee$  in terms of  $M$ .

*Solution.* As in Proposition A.2, we have  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  and  $B^\vee = (v_1^\vee, \dots, v_n^\vee)$ . Write  $(a_{ij})$  for the entries of the matrix  $M$ . For future reference, the  $i$ -th row of  $M$  is

$$[a_{i1} \ a_{i2} \ \dots \ a_{in}].$$

By the definition of matrix representations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(v_1) &= a_{11}v_1 + a_{21}v_2 + \dots + a_{n1}v_n \\ T(v_2) &= a_{12}v_1 + a_{22}v_2 + \dots + a_{n2}v_n \\ &\vdots \\ T(v_n) &= a_{1n}v_1 + a_{2n}v_2 + \dots + a_{nn}v_n. \end{aligned}$$

The  $i$ -th column of  $M^\vee$  is given by the  $B^\vee$ -coordinates of the vector  $T^\vee(v_i^\vee) = v_i^\vee \circ T$ . To determine these, we apply  $v_i^\vee \circ T$  to the basis vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ :

$$T^\vee(v_i^\vee)(v_j) = (v_i^\vee \circ T)(v_j) = v_i^\vee(T(v_j)) = v_i^\vee(a_{1j}v_1 + a_{2j}v_2 + \dots + a_{nj}v_n) = a_{ij}.$$

This means that

$$T^\vee(v_i^\vee) = a_{i1}v_1^\vee + a_{i2}v_2^\vee + \dots + a_{in}v_n^\vee$$

and the  $i$ -th column of  $M^\vee$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{i1} \\ a_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{in} \end{bmatrix},$$

precisely the  $i$ -th row of  $M$ .

We conclude that  $M^\vee = M^T$ , the transpose of the matrix  $M$ . □

**Exercise A.6.** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ . Define  $\Gamma: V^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$  by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi(v_1) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi(v_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Prove that  $\Gamma$  is a linear transformation.
- (b) Prove that  $\Gamma$  is injective if and only if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  spans  $V$ .
- (c) Prove that  $\Gamma$  is surjective if and only if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is linearly independent.

*Solution.*

- (a) Given  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in V^\vee$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) &= ((\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(v_1), \dots, (\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)(v_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_1(v_1), \dots, \varphi_1(v_n)) + (\varphi_2(v_1), \dots, \varphi_2(v_n)) \\ &= \Gamma(\varphi_1) + \Gamma(\varphi_2). \end{aligned}$$



Given  $\varphi \in V^\vee$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\lambda\varphi) &= ((\lambda\varphi)(v_1), \dots, (\lambda\varphi)(v_n)) \\ &= (\lambda\varphi(v_1), \dots, \lambda\varphi(v_n)) \\ &= \lambda\Gamma(\varphi).\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Suppose  $\Gamma$  is injective. Let  $W = \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . We want to prove that  $W = V$ . Suppose  $W \neq V$ . Let  $C = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  be a basis of  $W$  and extend it to a basis  $B = \{w_1, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_m\}$  of  $V$ .

Let  $B^\vee$  be the dual basis to  $B$  and consider its last element  $v_m^\vee$  given by

$$v_m^\vee(a_1w_1 + \dots + a_mw_m) = a_m.$$

Then  $v_m^\vee \neq 0$  (since  $v_m^\vee(w_m) = 1$ , for instance) but  $v_m^\vee(w) = 0$  for all  $w \in W$ . In particular,  $v_m^\vee(v_1) = \dots = v_m^\vee(v_n) = 0$ , so  $\Gamma(v_m^\vee) = 0$ , contradicting the injectivity of  $\Gamma$ .

We conclude that  $W = V$ , in other words  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  spans  $V$ .

**Conversely**, suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  spans  $V$ . If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in V^\vee$  are such that  $\Gamma(\varphi_1) = \Gamma(\varphi_2)$ , then  $\Gamma(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = 0$ , so setting  $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ , we want to show that  $\varphi = 0$ , the constant zero function.

If  $\varphi \neq 0$ , then there exists  $v \in V - \{0\}$  such that  $\varphi(v) \neq 0$ . Since  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  spans  $V$ , then we can write  $v$  as

$$v = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n.$$

But  $\Gamma(\varphi) = 0$ , so

$$0 \neq \varphi(v) = b_1\varphi(v_1) + \dots + b_n\varphi(v_n) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. So we must have  $\varphi = 0$ , that is  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ . We conclude that  $\Gamma$  is injective.

- (c) Suppose  $\Gamma: V^\vee \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^n$  is surjective. Let

$$a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$$

be a linear relation.

Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is surjective, given the standard basis vector  $e_i \in \mathbf{F}^n$  (1 in the  $i$ -th entry), there exists  $\varphi_i \in V^\vee$  such that  $\Gamma(\varphi_i) = e_i$ . If we apply  $\varphi_i$  on both sides of the linear relation, we get

$$a_i = 0.$$

Since this holds for all  $i$ , the relation is trivial.

**Conversely**, suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is linearly independent. This set can be enlarged to a basis  $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \dots, v_m\}$  of  $V$ , with dual basis  $v_1^\vee, \dots, v_m^\vee$ .

Now take an arbitrary vector in  $\mathbf{F}^n$ :

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\varphi = a_1 v_1^\vee + \cdots + a_n v_n^\vee,$$

then

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = w.$$

We conclude that  $\Gamma$  is surjective. □

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Alexander Nagel. Convexity and the sign of the second derivative. <https://people.math.wisc.edu/~ajnagel/convexity.pdf>, University of Wisconsin lecture notes, 2010. [Online; accessed 25 August 2023].



# INDEX

- algebraic, 6
- bounded sequence, 33
- chain, 7
- connected component, 33
- map
  - open, 21
- maximal element, 7
- metric
  - $\ell^2$ , 10
  - conserving, 28
  - discrete, 11
  - Euclidean, 10
  - Hamming, 11
- poset, 7
- sequence
  - bounded, 33
- set
  - partially ordered, 7
  - totally ordered, 7
- total order, 7
- transcendental, 6
- upper bound, 7